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An immunohistochemical analysis of stathmin 1 expression in uterine smooth muscle tumors: differential expression in leiomyosarcomas and leiomyomas
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Abstract: The oncogenic phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-AKT-mammalian target of rapamycin pathway (PI3K-AKT-mTOR) pathway is known to be activated in uterine smooth muscle tumors, and Stathmin 1 (STMN1) expression has been identified as a marker of PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway activation. We hypothesized that STMN1 may have some diagnostic utility and explored how well STMN1 expression correlated with histologic classifications of uterine smooth muscle tumors into benign and malignant groupings. 84 smooth muscle tumors were assessed for STMN1 expression by immunohistochemistry. These included spindle cell leiomyosarcoma (n = 32), conventional spindle cell leiomyomas (n = 30), atypical (symplastic) leiomyoma (n = 5), cellular leiomyoma (n = 7), smooth muscle tumor of uncertain malignant potential (n = 4), mitotically active leiomyomas (n = 2), benign metastasizing leiomyoma (n = 3), and cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma (n = 1). All spindle cell leiomyosarcomas were positive (32/32 positive; 100%) as compared with conventional leiomyomata (11/30; 37%) (P < 0.0001). The average immunohistochemical score (0-12+, reflective of intensity and extent) for leiomyosarcomas was 8.7 (± 1.43) whereas the conventional leiomyomata average score was 1.6 (± 1.07) (P < 0.0001). This difference in scores was reflected in the patterns of expression: leiomyosarcomas were predominantly strongly and diffusely positive whereas leiomyomata were predominantly weakly, albeit diffusely positive when expression was present. The sensitivity of STMN1 expression for leiomyosarcomas was 100%. However, the specificity was found to be only 55% (CI = 43-68%). The negative and positive predictive values for leiomyosarcomas were 100% and 52% respectively. The odds ratio (OR) for any STMN1 expression in predicting a spindle cell leiomyosarcoma diagnosis from this dataset was highly significant (OR = 144, P = 0.0006). Thirteen non-smooth muscle tumors that involved the uterus all showed at least focal STMN1 immunoreactivity. In summary, STMN1 is a highly sensitive marker for leiomyosarcoma but is suboptimally specific for diagnostic purposes. The 100% negative predictive value for leiomyosarcoma may offer some diagnostic utility in a small sample, since the absence of STMN1 immunoreactivity in a putative leiomyosarcoma is a strong argument against this diagnostic possibility.
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Introduction

Uterine leiomyosarcomas are rare, representing approximately 1% of uterine malignancies, 40% of all uterine sarcomas, and with an annual incidence of approximately 0.64 per 100,000 women [1-5]. Although these are rare tumors, leiomyosarcomas are clinically aggressive, with 5-year survival rates of between 25-75% [3, 6-11]. In general, pathologic classifications of smooth muscle tumors show a strong correlation with patient outcomes. However, it has long been recognized that the diagnosis of some smooth muscle tumors can be problematic,
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including tumors displaying extraterine disease but bland morphology and favorable patient outcomes, tumors displaying bland histology and clinical aggressiveness, and other tumors that in general, show a dissonance between pathologic features and patient outcomes [11-15]. Accordingly, immunohistochemical (IHC) markers that may assist in differentiating between a leiomyosarcoma and a uterine leiomyoma will be clinically helpful. A variety of such markers, including p21, p27, p53, p16, IMP3, pan-Akt, Ki-67, and fascin have previously been evaluated [10, 16-26]. Although each has shown varying degrees of efficacy for this purpose, they generally lack the specificity to be deployed in isolation.

Stathmin 1 (STMN1), also known as oncoprotein 18, is a cytoplasmic phosphoprotein that is involved in regulating the dynamics of mitotic and interphase microtubules. As such, dysregulation of stathmin expression may result in alterations in cell division, which may eventuate in oncogenesis [33]. In the gynecologic tract, STMN1 overexpression has been identified as a negative prognostic factor in both ovarian and endometrial carcinomas, and may have diagnostic utility in cervical and adnexal intraepithelial neoplasias [34-38]. STMN1 expression has also been identified as a significant marker of activation of the oncogenic phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-AKT-mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K-AKT-mTOR) pathway [36-40]. This pathway is known to be highly activated in both uterine and extrauterine leiomyosarcoma [27-32]. Accordingly, we hypothesized that STMN1 has some diagnostic utility in classifying uterine smooth muscle tumors regarding their malignant potential and explored how well STMN1 expression correlated with histologic classifications of uterine smooth muscle tumors into benign and malignant groupings. In summary, STMN1 is a highly sensitive marker for leiomyosarcoma but is suboptimally specific for diagnostic purposes. The 100% negative predictive value for leiomyosarcoma may offer some diagnostic utility in a small sample, since the absence of STMN1 immunoreactivity in a putative leiomyosarcoma is a strong argument against the diagnosis.

**Materials and methods**

**Case selection**

84 smooth muscle tumors that had previously been classified based on World Health Organization criteria [41], were selected from the authors’ files. The cases included spindle cell leiomyosarcoma (n = 32), conventional spindle cell leiomyomas (n = 30), atypical (symplastic) leiomyoma (n = 5), cellular leiomyoma (n = 7), smooth muscle tumor of uncertain malignant potential (n = 4), mitotically active leiomyomas (n = 2), benign metastasizing leiomyoma (n = 3), and cotyledonoid dissecting leiomyoma (n = 1). Thirteen non-smooth muscle tumors that involved the uterus were also included, including five low grade endometrial stromal sarco-
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Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical analyses were performed on 1 unstained slide from each case. Slides were placed on the Leica Bond Max immunohistochemical stainer. All steps besides dehydration, clearing and coverslipping are performed on the Bond Max. Slides are deparaffinized. Heat induced antigen retrieval was performed on the Bond Max using their Epitope Retrieval 2 solution for 20 minutes. The sections were incubated with a polyclonal antibody to STMN1 (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA; Catalog #3352) diluted 1:50 for one hour. The Bond Refine Polymer detection system was used for visualization. Slides were then dehydrated, cleared and coverslipped.

Cases were scored using a system that incorporated staining intensity (on a 0-3+) scale and staining extent (0-4+ scale). These values were then multiplied in each case, giving potential scores that ranged from 0-12+. All cases were scored by 2 authors (MMA and OF).

Statistics

Subgroups were compared regarding their STMN1 staining using the Student t-test (comparing mean STMN1 score for each group) or Fisher Exact test (comparing STMN1 rates of positivity in each group). Odds Ratio, Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive Predictive Value, Negative Predictive Value for any STMN1 immunoreactivity in predicting the leiomyosarcoma diagnosis were determined using three thresholds for positivity (≥ 1+, ≥ 4+, and ≥ 6+) using the vassarstats program (http://www.vassarstats.net/clin1.html). A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all analyses.

Results

The distribution of scores for all tumors is reflected in Table 1. The majority of the leiomyosarcomas were strongly and diffusely positive for STMN1 expression (Figures 1 and 2), whereas most leiomyomata were weakly positive or negative for STMN1 expression (Figures 3 and 4). At a ≥ 1+ threshold for positivity, all spindle cell leiomyosarcomas were positive (32/32 positive; 100%) as compared with conventional leiomyomata (11/30; 37%) (P < 0.0001). The average score for leiomyosarcomas was 8.7 (± 1.43) whereas the conventional leiomyomata average score was 1.6 (± 1.07) (P < 0.0001). This difference in scores was reflected in the patterns of expression: leiomyosarcomas were predominantly strongly and diffusely positive whereas leiomyomata were predominantly weakly, albeit diffusely positive when expression was present (Figure 4). The rate of STMN1 positivity in LMS (32/32; 100%) was significantly higher than for all other uterine SM tumors when the latter is considered as a group (16/52; 30.8%) (P < 0.0001). All non-smooth muscle tumors were positive.

For each threshold for positivity, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of the STMN1 as a biomarker for predicting a leiomyosarcoma diagnosis among the uterine smooth muscle tumors

Figure 2. Leiomyosarcoma: diffuse expression of STMN1 in leiomyosarcoma. Necrotic areas show decreased expression.

Figure 3. Leiomyoma.
Stathmin expression in uterine smooth muscle tumors

Table 2. Test parameters calculated for each threshold for positivity for smooth muscle tumors and all tumors included in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Threshold for positivity</th>
<th>Smooth Muscle Tumors</th>
<th>All Tumors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 1+ (95% CI)</td>
<td>≥ 4+ (95% CI)</td>
<td>≥ 6+ (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
<td>144 (8-2493, P = 0.0006)</td>
<td>17 (6-51, P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>33 (9-114, P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100 (87-100)</td>
<td>78 (59-90)</td>
<td>78 (60-90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>69 (55-81)</td>
<td>83 (69-91)</td>
<td>90 (77-96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>67 (51-79)</td>
<td>73 (55-86)</td>
<td>83 (65-97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>100 (87-100)</td>
<td>86 (73-94)</td>
<td>87 (74-94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The histologic classification of uterine smooth muscle tumors regarding their malignant potential may potentially be problematic [12], and a wide variety of immunohistochemical markers have been assessed as potential diagnostic adjuncts in this classification. Included in this group are p21, p27, p53, p16, IMP3, pan-Akt, Ki-67, progesterone receptor, and fascin [10, 39-65%) respectively. The odds ratio (OR) for any STMN1 expression in predicting a spindle cell leiomyosarcoma diagnosis from this dataset was highly significant (OR = 144, CI = 8-2493, P = 0.0006, at the ≥ 1+ threshold), see Table 2.

Table 2. Test parameters calculated for each threshold for positivity for smooth muscle tumors and all tumors included in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Threshold for positivity</th>
<th>Smooth Muscle Tumors</th>
<th>All Tumors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥ 1+ (95% CI)</td>
<td>≥ 4+ (95% CI)</td>
<td>≥ 6+ (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
<td>144 (8-2493, P = 0.0006)</td>
<td>17 (6-51, P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>33 (9-114, P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>100 (87-100)</td>
<td>78 (59-90)</td>
<td>78 (60-90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>69 (55-81)</td>
<td>83 (69-91)</td>
<td>90 (77-96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>67 (51-79)</td>
<td>73 (55-86)</td>
<td>83 (65-97)</td>
</tr>
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<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
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<td>86 (73-94)</td>
<td>87 (74-94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Leiomyoma with foci of no STMN1 expression (left image) and weak STMN1 expression (right image).
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16-26, 45]. These markers often have a specific drawback that limits their utility. For example, IMP3 is highly specific for leiomyosarcomas but is only moderately sensitive (52% of the leiomyosarcomas were positive, 4.2% of the leiomyoma variants-cellular or symplastic-were positive, all conventional leiomyomata were negative) [16]. Cell cycle regulatory protein expression (such as p16, p21, p27, and p53) is often distinctly heterogeneous in smooth muscle tumors and each cannot be depended upon as a sole discriminator between benign and malignant smooth muscle proliferations [10]. Composite profiles tend to be more useful. For example, Lee et al reported that diffuse expression of p16 and p53 and/or a high Ki-67 proliferation index, yielded a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 98% for separating leiomyosarcomas from leiomyomata [20]. Fascin and Pan-AKT also show some potential diagnostic utility, in that most leiomyosarcomas have been found to be positive and most leiomyomata negative, but data are limited and these markers have not been evaluated in multiple laboratories [17, 18].

The PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway appears to be centrally associated with smooth muscle proliferation and neoplasia in the uterus. In animal models, mTOR has been shown to mediate hormone-initiated myometrial hyperplasia in pregnancy [42], and both mTOR and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase have been reported to be requirements for estrogen-induced proliferation in cell lines derived from uterine leiomyoma and normal myometrium [43]. Mice carrying homologous deletions in an endogenous negative regulator of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway developed a variety of benign smooth muscle proliferations as well as rapid onset abdominal leiomyosarcomas [27]. Although none of the resultant malignancies were uterine, this study was noteworthy because constitutive activation of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway was only found in the leiomyosarcomas, and not the benign proliferations, suggesting that PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway activation was a necessary but insufficient event to develop smooth muscle malignancy [27]. The entire PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway is clearly upregulated in human smooth muscle tumors as assessed by gene expression profiling [44], and constitutive activation of the mTORC2-phospholipase D1 pathway has been demonstrated in uterine leiomyoma (phospholipase D may be an activator of mTOR signaling) [31]. Since STMN1 expression has also been identified as a significant marker of activation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway [36-40], we explored how well STMN1 expression correlated with histologic classifications of uterine smooth muscle tumors into benign and malignant groupings. The ultimate goal of this study was to explore the diagnostic utility of this marker by establishing its baseline performance in non-problematic cases.

We report that STMN1 is a highly sensitive marker for leiomyosarcoma but is suboptimally specific for diagnostic purposes. It is not a specific marker of malignancy within the smooth muscle group since 37% of leiomyomata showed some STMN1 expression. It is also not a specific marker of smooth muscle neoplasia since non-smooth muscle sarcomas are frequently positive. The 100% negative predictive value for leiomyosarcoma may offer some diagnostic utility in a small sample, in that the absence of STMN1 immunoreactivity in a putative leiomyosarcoma is a strong argument against the diagnosis. However, this marker should be combined with other markers if applied even for this purpose. Irrespective of whether STMN1 can be applied for diagnostic purposes, the patterns of expression may provide valuable insights into the elusive pathogenesis of leiomyosarcomas. For example, 5 of 5 atypical leiomyomas in our dataset were STMN1 negative, indicating that STMN1 expression is not a surrogate indicator for cytologic atypia and suggesting a lack of PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway activation in this morphologically distinct but biologically benign variant; in contrast, 2 of 2 mitotically active leiomyomata were positive. Since PI3K-AKT-mTOR play such a central role in leiomyosarcomagenesis, STMN1 expression may provide insights into the primary drivers of this process, and/or their morphologic correlates.
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