

2014

SENTIA: a systematic online monitoring registry for children and adolescents treated with antipsychotics

I. Palanca-Maresca

B. Ruiz-Antoran

G. Centeno-Soto

S. Jimenez-Fernandez

L. Garcia-Murillo

*See next page for additional authors*Follow this and additional works at: <https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/articles>Part of the [Medical Molecular Biology Commons](#), and the [Psychiatry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Palanca-Maresca I, Ruiz-Antoran B, Centeno-Soto G, Jimenez-Fernandez S, Garcia-Murillo L, Siles A, Villagra S, Blasco-Fontecilla H, Iruela-Cuadrado L, Correll C, . SENTIA: a systematic online monitoring registry for children and adolescents treated with antipsychotics. . 2014 Jan 01; 3():Article 1094 [p.]. Available from: <https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/articles/1094>. Free full text article.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works.

Authors

I. Palanca-Maresca, B. Ruiz-Antoran, G. Centeno-Soto, S. Jimenez-Fernandez, L. Garcia-Murillo, A. Siles, S. Villagra, H. Blasco-Fontecilla, L. Iruela-Cuadrado, Christoph Correll, and +2 additional authors

DATABASE

Open Access

SENTIA: a systematic online monitoring registry for children and adolescents treated with antipsychotics

Inmaculada Palanca-Maresca^{1,10*}, Belén Ruiz-Antorán², Gustavo Centeno-Soto², Sara Jiménez-Fernández³, Lourdes García-Murillo⁴, Ana Siles⁵, Sandra Villagrà⁶, Hilario Blasco-Fontecilla⁷, Luis Iruela-Cuadrado¹, Enriqueta Roman-Riechman⁸, Cristina Avendaño-Solá² and Christoph U Correll⁹

Abstract

Introduction: Despite drastic increases in antipsychotic prescribing in youth, data are still limited regarding their safety in this vulnerable population, necessitating additional tools for capturing long-term, real world data.

Methods: We present SENTIA (SafEty of NeurolepTics in Infancy and Adolescence; <https://SENTIA.es>), an online registry created in 2010 to track antipsychotic adverse effects in Spanish youth <18 years old currently taking or initiating with any antipsychotic treatment. SENTIA collects information on sociodemographic, diagnostic and treatment characteristics, past personal medical/psychiatric history, healthy lifestyle habits and treatment adherence. Additionally, efficacy and adverse effect data are recorded including the Children's Global Assessment Scale; Clinical Global Impressions scale for Severity and Improvement, the Safety Monitoring Uniform Report Form, Simpson-Angus Scale, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale, vital signs, blood pressure, and EKG. Finally, fasting blood is drawn for hematology, electrolytes, renal, liver and thyroid function, glucose, insulin, lipid, prolactin and sex hormone levels. Initially, a diagnostic interview and several psychopathology scales were also included. Patients are assessed regularly and followed even beyond stopping antipsychotics.

Results: Since 01/17/2011, 85 youth (11.5 ± 2.9 (range = 4-17) years old, 70.6% male) have been included at one inaugural center. After a mean duration of 17 ± 11 (range = 1-34) months, 78.8% are still actively followed. For feasibility reasons, the diagnostic interview and detailed psychopathology scales were dropped. The remaining data can be entered in <30 minutes. Several additional centers are currently being added to SENTIA.

Conclusions: Implementation of a systematic online pharmacovigilance system for antipsychotic adverse effects in youth is feasible and promises to generate important information.

Keywords: Antipsychotics; Adverse effects; Children; Adolescents; Registry; Pharmacovigilance

Introduction

The use of antipsychotics has dramatically increased in children and adolescents in recent years. This sharp increase stands, however, in stark contrast to the relative paucity of data from controlled investigations (Olfson et al. 2012; Vitiello et al. 2009; Correll 2008; Zuddas et al. 2011). Indeed, few methodologically sound studies exist

on the safety and efficacy of second-generation antipsychotics in pediatric populations (Vitiello et al. 2009; Jensen et al. 2007). Moreover, long-term evidence for the safety of antipsychotics in children and adolescents is scant (Aman et al. 2005). Importantly, most of the antipsychotics are prescribed off-label, and age-specific dose guidelines and adverse reaction profiles are often not available in these populations (Panagiotopoulos et al. 2010). The severity of some adverse effects of antipsychotics in adults, and the few available data in children and adolescents has raised concerns about the benefit/risk ratio of antipsychotics in youth (Vitiello et al. 2009; Correll 2008). Taken together,

* Correspondence: marujapalanca@gmail.com

¹Department of Psychiatry, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, Madrid, Spain

¹⁰Calle Manuel de Falla, 1, 28222 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

these reasons highlight the relevance of generating novel tools aimed at collecting data on antipsychotic adverse effects in pediatric populations that reflect and are generalizable to clinical practice settings and populations.

In recent years, several networks across the world have been created to routinely assess the safety of psychotropic medications in youth (Ronsley et al. 2012; Seida et al. 2012). The Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Trials Network (CAPTN) was created to collect “real life” data of pediatric patients treated with antidepressants drugs in the US (March et al. 2004; Shapiro et al. 2009). In Canada, the Canadian Alliance for Monitoring Effectiveness and Safety of Antipsychotics in Children (CAMESA) has actively collected data on the safety of antipsychotics in youth (Pringsheim et al. 2011; Ho et al. 2011). In Europe, the Therapeutic Drug Monitoring (TADS) run by the German-Austrian Swiss “Competence Network on TDM in Child and Adolescent Psychiatry” (Mehler-Wex et al. 2009) and the Pediatric Atypical Antipsychotic Monitoring Safety Study (PAMS) in the United Kingdom (Rani et al. 2009) have been developed.

The present article is aimed at presenting SENTIA (SafEty of NeurolepTics in Infancy and Adolescence), an online registry (<https://SENTIA.es>) created for the long-term pharmacovigilance and safety evaluation of antipsychotics in children and adolescents in Spain (Figure 1).

Aims of SENTIA

SENTIA was created in 2010 within the Clinical Program for Safe Antipsychotic Use in Children and Adolescents, run jointly by the Departments of Psychiatry and Clinical Pharmacology of the Puerta de Hierro University Hospital in Madrid, Spain.

The principal objective of the Clinical Program for Safe Antipsychotics in Infancy and Adolescence is to facilitate the safest use of antipsychotics in children and adolescents through the early prevention and detection of antipsychotic adverse events. Moreover, close monitoring and longterm

follow-up also aims to enhance treatment adherence as well as patient and family alliance, with the ultimate goal to improve overall outcomes.

The Registry enrolled the first patient on 01/17/2011. Currently, all clinical information comes from Puerta de Hierro University Hospital (Madrid, Spain) and one referring community mental health center. After this pilot phase, SENTIA will be open to all Institutions attending children and adolescents across Spain and additional centers are currently being added.

Description of SENTIA

SENTIA is an online database platform, which permits the registration of collected data in relation to short and long-term safety of antipsychotics in children and adolescents. This online application makes multi-centre participation possible. SENTIA is supported by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Health and Social Politics (EC10-040) and Mutua Madrileña Foundation (2010 and 2013).

SENTIA includes patients <18 years old currently taking or initiating treatment with any antipsychotic either as monotherapy or polytherapy. Patients can be recruited from different clinical settings of the participating hospitals. There are no clinical exclusion criteria. Patients with severe mental retardation or neurological abnormalities are also included, although some collected parameters are not applicable. Those who accept to be included in the online Registry are entered after the caregivers’ signing of the Informed Consent.

Children and adolescents are regularly monitored. Antipsychotic-naïve patients are monitored before starting treatment, and after 1, 3 and 6 months, and then on a 6-monthly basis. Patients included in the program who are currently on treatment for more than one month are monitored on a 6-monthly basis. The clock is reset and monitoring is carried out like in an antipsychotic naïve subject whenever a new antipsychotic is started as part of a switch or restarting procedure. Patients are followed for,



Figure 1 Overall appearance of online data recording (<https://SENTIA.es>).

before as long as they can be tracked, regardless of age, and even after the discontinuation of antipsychotic treatment and, if possible, into adulthood.

The selection of the systematically collected biological and physical safety parameters and scales was based on a literature review of possible adverse effects of antipsychotics in pediatric populations and on the recommendations of several scientific associations and expert groups dealing with children and adolescents treated with antipsychotics (Panagiotopoulos et al. 2010; Seida et al. 2012; Correll et al. 2006). We defined an adverse reaction as a response to a medicinal product which is noxious and unintended. We register all suspected adverse effects, independent from the causality category (probable, possible or unlikely) and whether it is related to the antipsychotic or to another medicinal product. If an anomaly is detected, a specialist related to the abnormal data, –e.g., cardiologist in the case of an EKG abnormality- and the patients' physician(s), –i.e., child psychiatrist, pediatrician - are informed, so that they can take any necessary action. Thus, in addition to the regularly scheduled assessments, additional tests are performed as clinically necessary.

Design of SENTIA (data recording)

SENTIA comprises information on sociodemographic, diagnostic and therapeutic characteristics (age, gender, race, clinical primary diagnosis and comorbidities, target symptom(s) that motivates the antipsychotic prescription, time since these symptoms started, etc.), past personal medical and psychiatric history (i.e., drug allergies, perinatal complications, breastfeeding, psychomotor development and relevant medical history), healthy lifestyle habits (i.e., diet, physical exercise), past psychotropic use, and medication adherence, using a self-developed questionnaire to patients and to caregivers (How many doses did you miss since the last visit? Who supervises the youth's medication taking?). Further, the following validated instruments for the assessment of the diagnosis and psychopathology of children and adolescents are included: the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Mini-KID) (Sheehan et al. 2010); Screening Questionnaire for Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASSQ) (Ehlers et al. 1999); the Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) (Lundh et al. 2010); and the Clinical Global Impressions scale for Severity (CGI-S) and Improvement (CGI-I) (Busner and Targum 2007) and Achenbach Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) (Achenbach and Edelbrock 1981).

The potential adverse effects are closely monitored during each office visit using the Safety Monitoring Uniform Report Form (SMURF) (Greenhill et al. 2004), a specific adverse effect scale for pediatric psychotropic use. Given that there is not validated scale in the pediatric population, the SMURF was translated *ad hoc* into Spanish with the authors' authorization. Furthermore, in order to better

monitor any potential neurological adverse effects, we used the validated Spanish versions of the modified Simpson-Angus Scale (SAS) (Simpson and Angus 1970) and the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) (Guy 1976).

Further, the risk for metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular, cardiac, endocrine and other relevant adverse events are specifically monitored through complete physical examination, including height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference, and sexual development, assessed using Tanner staging (Desmangles et al. 2006); an electrocardiogram (PR and QTc intervals), systolic and diastolic blood pressure and pulse rate, respectively. Finally, fasting blood work is obtained for full blood count, coagulation, serum glucose, electrolytes, hepatic and renal function tests, lipid profile, insulin, thyroid hormones, sex hormones, and prolactin.

Ethical aspects

The Puerta de Hierro University Hospital Research Ethics Committee approved SENTIA in 2010, October. In accordance with current legislation, informed consent is obtained from parents or legal guardians and also from the patient if he/she is over 12 years old. Collected data are treated anonymously, in compliance with the local Data Protection Law (Ley Orgánica 15/1999 on confidentiality of personal data).

Personal contact details are not included in the online database. Contact details are found only on informed consent forms kept in a restricted access file. The information collected in this register is anonymous for the persons performing the data analysis or writing reports or papers since patients are identified by a six-digit code.

The project leaders have access to all included data to verify that the information entered in the database is reliable and corresponds with the data from the patient's medical history.

The registry database is the property of the program leaders (IPM and BRA), who are responsible for any necessary organization and data analysis.

The project is registered in the European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance (ENCEPP). This is a new initiative established by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) which is seeking to promote the conduct of such studies and establish standards for post-marketing safety evaluations.

Initial results

Since 01/17/2011 until 30/12/2013, 85 youth (11.5 ± 2.9 (range = 4-17) years old, 70.6% male) have been enrolled at one center. At the moment of inclusion 60% were naive to antipsychotics therapy. After a mean duration of 17 ± 11 (range = 1-34) months, 78.8% are still followed. For feasibility reasons, the time consuming diagnostic interviews (MINI-kid and ASSQ) and the CBCL were dropped. The

remaining data collection is incorporated into the clinical visit and complete data entry takes <30 minutes. At present, several additional centers are being included in SENTIA.

Limitations

A potential limitation of SENTIA is that participation is voluntary. Thus, we could potentially introduce a selection bias. We register the basic characteristics of patients prescribed antipsychotics but not entering into the registry in order to assess the representativeness of the included population. Another issue is that of missing data. Some of the required scales/measurements are clearly less time consuming and easier to comply with than others. Thus, there might be some imbalances and areas of missing data, in the sense that some information will be more easily assessed as part of SENTIA than others. As mentioned above, for feasibility reasons, we decided to drop the very time consuming interviews (MINI-kid and ASSQ) and the CBCL, focusing the clinical effort of adverse effect monitoring and global assessment of efficacy using the CGAS and CGI. The foreseen incorporation of new centers entail new challenges, such as the need for suitable procedures to guarantee quality of data: monitoring, audits as well as the need to a more mature design of the governing boards of the program, with a revised and more participative Scientific Committee. With regards to specific training to the evaluators we have chosen to skip a mandatory centralized training as we wish to apply a methodology that would be feasible and enable rolling out of SENTIA to many additional centers. Nevertheless, periodical newsletters and workshops will be scheduled as a tool to promote consistency.

Two major strengths of SENTIA are its standardized format and longitudinal design that will provide missing and much needed long-term safety and tolerability data, and its naturalistic design, thus giving a clear picture of real-life use and safety of antipsychotics.

Conclusions

The field is in need of new tools that can help monitor and detect long-term, delayed and developmental adverse events of antipsychotics in pediatric patients. SENTIA, an online pharmacovigilance registry, seems to be a feasible and promising tool for the systematic monitoring of antipsychotic adverse effects in children and adolescents. In particular, the high retention rate is encouraging. The successful implementation of SENTIA in other centers needs to be tested and long-term adverse effect data will continue to be collected. Finally, routine clinical monitoring of potentially problematic long-term adverse effects of antipsychotics need to be monitored regularly in clinical practice, even outside of pharmacovigilance or research projects (Correll 2008; Pringsheim et al. 2011; Ho et al. 2011; Correll et al. 2009).

Availability and requirements

The web address at which the database is available is <https://sentia.es/gvpp/login.xhtml>; use of this database is restricted to the research team. Nevertheless for any consultation or additional information to make contact with the author.

Competing interests

Inmaculada Palanca-Maresca has received lecture fees from Lundbeck. Dr. Blasco-Fontecilla has received lecture fees from Eli Lilly, AB-Biotics, and Shire. Dr. Correll has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from: Actelion, Alexza; Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cephalon, Eli Lilly, Genentech, Gerson Lehrman Group, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/J&J, Lundbeck, Medavante, Medscape, Merck, Otsuka, Pfizer, ProPhase, Roche, Sunovion, Takeda, Teva, and Vanda. He has received grant support from BMS, Janssen/J&J, Novo Nordisk A/S and Otsuka. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contribution

IPM, carried out the study conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of manuscript and critical revision. BRA, carried out the study conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of manuscript and critical revision. GCS, carried out the acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data and critical revision. SJF carried out the acquisition of data. LGM, carried out the acquisition of data. AS, carried out the evaluation of the cardiovascular data. SV, carried out the evaluation of the cardiovascular data. HBF, carried out the acquisition of data, drafting of manuscript and critical revision. LIC, carried out the critical revision. ERR, carried out the critical revision. CAS, carried out the study conception and design and critical revision. CUC, carried out the study conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data and critical revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

SENTIA was supported by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Health and Social Politics (EC10-040) and Mutua Madrileña Foundation (2010 and 2013).

Author details

¹Department of Psychiatry, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. ²Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. ³Department of Psychiatry, Granada University, Granada, Spain. ⁴NYU Child Study Center, New York University, New York, USA. ⁵Department of Cardiology, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. ⁶Department of Pediatric Cardiology, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. ⁷Department of Psychiatry, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain. ⁸Department of Pediatrics, Puerta de Hierro University Hospital, Madrid, Spain. ⁹The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Psychiatry Research, Glen Oaks, New York, USA. ¹⁰Calle Manuel de Falla, 1, 28222 Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain.

Received: 20 January 2014 Accepted: 7 April 2014

Published: 14 April 2014

References

- Achenbach TM, Edelbrock CS (1981) Behavioral problems and competencies reported by parents of normal and disturbed children aged four through sixteen. *Monogr Soc Res Child Dev* 46:1–82
- Aman MG, Arnold LE, McDougle CJ, Vitiello B, Scahill L, Davies M, McCracken JT, Tierney E, Nash PL, Posey DJ, Chuang S, Martin A, Shah B, Gonzalez NM, Swiezy NB, Ritz L, Koenig K, McGough J, Ghuman JK, Lindsay RL (2005) Acute and long-term safety and tolerability of risperidone in children with autism. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 15:869–884
- Busner J, Targum SD (2007) The clinical global impressions scale: applying a research tool in clinical practice. *Psychiatry (Edgmont)* 4:28–37
- Correll CU (2008) Antipsychotic use in children and adolescents: minimizing adverse effects to maximize outcomes. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 47:9–20
- Correll CU, Penzner JB, Parikh UH, Mughal T, Javed T, Carbon M, Malhotra AK (2006) Recognizing and monitoring adverse events of second-generation antipsychotics in children and adolescents. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am* 15:177–206

- Correll CU, Manu P, Olshansky V, Napolitano B, Kane JM, Malhotra AK (2009) Cardiometabolic risk of second-generation antipsychotic medications during first-time use in children and adolescents. *JAMA* 302:1765–1773
- Desmangles JC, Lappe JM, Lipaczewski G, Haynatzki G (2006) Accuracy of pubertal tanner staging self-reporting. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* 19:213–221
- Ehlers S, Gillberg C, Wing L (1999) A screening questionnaire for Asperger syndrome and other high-functioning autism spectrum disorders in school age children. *J Autism Dev Disord* 29:129–141
- Greenhill LL, Vitiello B, Fisher P, Levine J, Davies M, Abikoff H, Chrisman AK, Chuang S, Findling RL, March J, Scahill L, Walkup J, Riddle MA (2004) Comparison of increasingly detailed elicitation methods for the assessment of adverse events in pediatric psychopharmacology. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 43:1488–1496
- Guy W (1976) ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology: Revised (DHEW publication number ADM 76–338). US: Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Public Health Service, Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration, NIMH Psychopharmacology Research Branch, Division of Extramural Research Programs, Rockville, MD
- Ho J, Panagiotopoulos C, McCrindle B, Grisaru S, Pringsheim T (2011) Management recommendations for metabolic complications associated with second-generation antipsychotic use in children and youth. *Paediatr Child Health* 16:575–580
- Jensen PS, Buitelaar J, Pandina GJ, Binder C, Haas M (2007) Management of psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents with atypical antipsychotics: a systematic review of published clinical trials. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 16:104–120
- Lundh A, Kowalski J, Sundberg CJ, Gumpert C, Landen M (2010) Children's Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) in a naturalistic clinical setting: inter-rater reliability and comparison with expert ratings. *Psychiatry Res* 177:206–210
- March JS, Silva SG, Compton S, Anthony G, DeVeaugh-Geiss J, Califf R, Krishnan R (2004) The Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Trials Network (CAPTN). *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 43:515–518
- Mehler-Wex C, Kolch M, Kirchheiner J, Antony G, Fegert JM, Gerlach M (2009) Drug monitoring in child and adolescent psychiatry for improved efficacy and safety of psychopharmacotherapy. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 3:14
- Olsson M, Blanco C, Liu SM, Wang S, Correll CU (2012) National trends in the office-based treatment of children, adolescents, and adults with antipsychotics. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 69:1247–1256
- Panagiotopoulos C, Ronsley R, Elbe D, Davidson J, Smith DH (2010) First do no harm: promoting an evidence-based approach to atypical antipsychotic use in children and adolescents. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 19:124–137
- Pringsheim T, Panagiotopoulos C, Davidson J, Ho J (2011) Evidence-based recommendations for monitoring safety of second-generation antipsychotics in children and youth. *Paediatr Child Health* 16:581–589
- Rani FA, Byrne PJ, Murray ML, Carter P, Wong IC (2009) Paediatric atypical antipsychotic monitoring safety (PAMS) study: pilot study in children and adolescents in secondary- and tertiary-care settings. *Drug Saf* 32:325–333
- Ronsley R, Rayter M, Smith D, Davidson J, Panagiotopoulos C (2012) Metabolic monitoring training program implementation in the community setting was associated with improved monitoring in second-generation antipsychotic-treated children. *Can J Psychiatry* 57:292–299
- Seida JC, Schouten JR, Boylan K, Newton AS, Mousavi SS, Beath A, Vandermeer B, Dryden DM, Carrey N (2012) Antipsychotics for children and young adults: a comparative effectiveness review. *Pediatrics* 129:e771–e784
- Shapiro M, Silva SG, Compton S, Chrisman A, DeVeaugh-Geiss J, Breland-Noble A, Kondo D, Kirchner J, March JS (2009) The child and adolescent psychiatry trials network (CAPTN): infrastructure development and lessons learned. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 3:12
- Sheehan DV, Sheehan KH, Shytle RD, Janavs J, Bannon Y, Rogers JE, Milo KM, Stock SL, Wilkinson B (2010) Reliability and validity of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI-KID). *J Clin Psychiatry* 71:313–326
- Simpson GM, Angus JW (1970) A rating scale for extrapyramidal side effects. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 212:11–19
- Vitiello B, Correll C, van Zwieten-Boot B, Zuddas A, Parellada M, Arango C (2009) Antipsychotics in children and adolescents: increasing use, evidence for efficacy and safety concerns. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 19:629–635
- Zuddas A, Zanni R, Usala T (2011) Second generation antipsychotics (SGAs) for non-psychotic disorders in children and adolescents: a review of the randomized controlled studies. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 21:600–620

doi:10.1186/2193-1801-3-187

Cite this article as: Palanca-Maresca et al.: SENTIA: a systematic online monitoring registry for children and adolescents treated with antipsychotics. *SpringerPlus* 2014 3:187.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com