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Background: The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology is the standard for interpreting fine needle aspiration (FNA) specimens. The “atypia of undetermined significance/follicular lesion of undetermined significance” (AUS/FLUS) category, known as Bethesda Category III, has been ascribed a malignancy risk of 5–15%, but the probability of malignancy in AUS/FLUS specimens remains unclear. Our objective was to determine the risk of malignancy in thyroid FNAs categorized as AUS/FLUS at a comprehensive cancer center.

Methods: The management of 541 AUS/FLUS thyroid nodule patients treated at Memorial Sloan–Kettering Cancer Center between 2008 and 2011 was analyzed. Clinical and radiologic features were examined as predictors for surgery. Target AUS/FLUS nodules were correlated with surgical pathology.

Results: Of patients with an FNA initially categorized as AUS/FLUS, 64.7% (350/541) underwent immediate surgery, 17.7% (96/541) had repeat FNA, and 17.6% (95/541) were observed. Repeat FNA cytology was unsatisfactory in 5.2% (5/96), benign in 42.7% (41/96), AUS/FLUS in 38.5% (37/96), suspicious for follicular neoplasm in 5.2% (5/96), suspicious for malignancy in 4.2% (4/96), and malignant in 4.2% (4/96). Of nodules with two consecutive AUS/FLUS diagnoses that were resected, 26.3% (5/19) were malignant. Among all index AUS/FLUS nodules (triaged to surgery, repeat FNA, or observation), malignancy was confirmed on surgical pathology in 26.6% [CI 22.4–31.3]. Among AUS/FLUS nodules triaged to surgery, the malignancy rate was 37.8% [CI 33.1–42.8]. Incidental cancers were found in 22.3% of patients. On univariate logistic regression analysis, factors associated with triage to surgery were younger patient age (p < 0.0001), increasing nodule size (p < 0.0001), and nodule hypervascularity (p = 0.032).

Conclusions: In patients presenting to a comprehensive cancer center, malignancy rates in nodules with AUS/FLUS cytology are higher than previously estimated, with 26.6–37.8% of AUS/FLUS nodules harboring cancer. These data imply that Bethesda Category III nodules in some practice settings may have a higher risk of malignancy than traditionally believed, and that guidelines recommending repeat FNA or observation merit reconsideration.

Introduction

Fine-needle aspiration (FNA) plays an instrumental role in the workup of the thyroid nodule by estimating the risk of malignancy and thereby assisting rational triage of patients to surgery or observation. While only approximately 5% of thyroid nodules harbor malignancy, the use of FNA has permitted highly effective risk stratification, such that more than 50% of surgically resected nodules ultimately reveal cancer (1). Accordingly, this has effectively reduced the number of unnecessary surgeries and their associated sequelae.

Despite the benefits of FNA, cytopathology reports in the past have been ambiguous or difficult to interpret. Vague descriptors such as “atypical,” “indeterminate,” or “cannot exclude” have been demonstrated to confuse management (2). These terms are perceived differently by pathologists, endocrinologists, and surgeons, especially between institutions (3,4). Such shortcomings were the impetus for the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology, which standardized thyroid FNA results into six diagnostic categories and has become the accepted mode of communication between the various specialties caring for thyroid nodule patients (5,6). Implementation of the Bethesda
System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology has improved the quality of FNA reporting, promoting greater transparency and fewer unwarranted thyroidectomies (7).

However, Bethesda Category III (“atypia of unknown significance” [AUS], also termed “follicular lesion of unknown significance” [FLUS]) has generated controversy due to inconsistent usage across different clinicians and institutions. AUS/FLUS was defined for use as a category of last resort, with the expectation that 7% or fewer of FNAs would receive this diagnosis (5,6). The Bethesda consensus publication estimates that such a nodule would be associated with a low risk of malignancy (5–15%) and, in the absence of other suspicious features, could be managed with a repeat FNA. Conversely, Bethesda Category IV (follicular neoplasm or suspicious for follicular neoplasm) is thought to warrant surgery due to an estimated 15–30% risk of malignancy. In contrast to the Bethesda recommendations, the guidelines released by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/Associazione Medici Endocrinologi/European Thyroid Association (AACE/AME/ETA) Task Force combine Bethesda Categories III and IV (both defined as indeterminate) (8,9). This group recommends surgery in most instances, with observation only in cases with favorable clinical, cytologic, and sonographic features.

The 5–15% risk of malignancy from Bethesda Category III nodules remains an initial approximation, with the precise incidence variable among institutions (5,6,10,11). The emergence and FDA approval of molecular testing also suggests the need to reexamine this percentage and its associated recommendation. The actual risk of malignancy is difficult to determine, since a pathologic diagnosis is only made when adequate material was available. On-site assessment for adequacy was routinely performed.

All reports followed the diagnostic scheme proposed by the 2007 National Cancer Institute Thyroid FNA State of the Science Conference Guidelines (10) and the subsequent Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology (5). Additional cytologic substratification at our institution was also performed (17). Operative criteria included large multinodular goiter, concerning sonographic features (17), enlarging nodule size, clinical suspicion, or patient/physician preference. Patients with two consecutive AUS/FLUS diagnoses were recommended to undergo surgery for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

For patients undergoing surgery, AUS/FLUS nodules were identified by correlating cytology reports with ultrasound and pathology reports. For pathologic analysis, tumor size was considered the maximum diameter within the resected gland. Mitotic rate was ascertained by counting 10 high-power fields (400×) with a U-DO microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA). Incidental carcinomas (including microcarcinomas) distinct from the target nodule were categorized and analyzed separately.

Estimates of the rate of malignancy in thyroid nodules are subject to several biases, as not all nodules undergo surgical resection and confirmatory pathologic analysis. Therefore, we determined the conceivable range of malignancy rates. The lower-bound estimate was calculated by dividing the number of confirmed malignancies by the total number of AUS/FLUS nodules, whether triaged to surgery, repeat FNA, or observation. The assumption that all observed (non-operated) AUS/FLUS nodules were benign is subject to verification bias, and therefore underestimates the prevalence of malignancy. The upper-bound estimate was calculated by dividing the number of confirmed malignancies by the number of AUS/FLUS nodules selected to undergo surgery. As nodules selected for surgery may have other clinical or ultrasonographic features that increase suspicion, this number is subject to selection bias, overestimating the prevalence of malignancy. The true prevalence is likely to lie between the lower- and upper-bound approximations.

Clinical and ultrasonographic variables were recorded and evaluated for their association with surgery, including age, sex, size, irregular margins, hypoechogenicity, hypervascularity, and calcifications. Logistic regression analysis was performed using SPSS v21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Comparative analyses were performed with chi-square analysis with Yates correction. All p-values were predetermined to be two-sided, with the level of significance set at p<0.05. Confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the Poisson distribution.

Results

Collectively, 8.0% (709/8862) of all thyroid FNAs performed during the study period were classified as AUS/FLUS, approximating Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology guidelines (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Of the 709 patients with AUS/FLUS on initial FNA, 541 had documented follow-up, with a median period of 14 months. The median age of AUS/FLUS patients was 54.0 years, with 80.4% of patients...
being female. The median nodule size was 1.9 cm. From this cohort, 64.7% (350/541) underwent immediate surgery, 17.7% (96/541) underwent repeat FNA, and 17.6% (95/541) were observed. The mean nodule sizes for these subgroups were 2.3 cm, 2.0 cm, and 1.9 cm respectively.

On ultrasound, 11.5% of nodules were complex, 12.4% harbored microcalcifications, 19.4% had hypervascular features, and 21.4% were hypoechoic. In our cohort, 9.9% (51/541) of nodules were identified as positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) scan incidentalomas, of which 13.7% (7/51) were found to be malignant. Additionally, 61.9% (335/541) were outside referrals (29.6% were malignant), while 38.1% (206/541) were diagnosed internally at MSKCC (21.8% were malignant). Substratification of AUS/FLUS nodules based on cytologic features is listed in Table 2.

Repeat FNA is recommended by the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology to help delineate clinical decision making in AUS/FLUS nodules (Table 3). Of the 96 nodules undergoing repeat FNA, the second FNA was unsatisfactory (Category I) in 5.2% (5/96), benign (Category II) in 42.7% (41/96), AUS/FLUS (Category III) in 38.5% (37/96), suspicious for neoplasm (Category IV) in 5.2% (5/96), suspicious for malignancy (Category V) in 4.2% (4/96), and malignant (Category VI) in 4.2% (4/96; Fig. 2). Of those with a benign FNA who still underwent surgery (241), 0% (0/2) were malignant. Of those nodules with two consecutive AUS/FLUS diagnoses, 51.4% (19/37) went on to surgery and 26.3% (5/19) were found to be malignant.

On univariate logistic regression analysis, factors associated with triage to surgery were increasing nodule size ($p < 0.0001$), younger patient age ($p < 0.0001$), and presence of hypervascularity ($p = 0.032$). Sex, hypoechogenicity, infiltrative margins, and calcifications were not found to be predictive. On multivariable analysis, none of the above variables was found to be significant.

Risk of malignancy among patients with AUS/FLUS nodules triaged to surgery (upper-bound estimate)

Of the 350 (64.7%) nodules with AUS/FLUS cytopathology that went on to immediate surgery, the rate of malignancy was 38.6% (135/350). Including the 31 nodules that were resected (after repeat FNA), the rate of malignancy for all patients triaged to surgery was 37.8% (144/381) [CI 33.1–42.8]. These estimates represent the upper bound of the true incidence rate. The vast majority of malignant surgical specimens comprised papillary thyroid carcinoma, either classical or other subtypes (86.8%). Follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma comprised

![Flow schematic of atypia of undetermined significance/follicular lesion of undetermined significance (AUS/FLUS) thyroid nodule patients managed between 2008 and 2011. Malignancy rates shown are specific to the targeted AUS/FLUS nodule, excluding incidental cancers or concurrent nodules.](image-url)
48.8% of the papillary thyroid cancers. The majority of benign surgical specimens consisted of nodular hyperplasia (51.9%; Fig. 3).

There were an additional 85 patients with separate incidental thyroid cancers identified, 76 of which were papillary microcarcinomas (89.4%). There were 11 cases with dual primary and incidental cancers. If incidental cancers are included, the cumulative rate of malignancy in resected glands (counting AUS/FLUS cancerous nodules and incidental carcinomas) was 57.2% (218/381).

Risk of malignancy among all patients with AUS/FLUS nodules (lower-bound estimate)

The incidence of malignancy among all patients with a nodule classified as AUS/FLUS, whether triaged to surgery or not, was 26.6% (144/541) [CI 22.4–31.3]. This estimate represents the lower bound of the true incidence rate, as it assumes that all observed nodules are benign.

Risk of malignancy among patients with consecutive AUS/FLUS FNAs

The upper-bound estimate of patients with two successive FNAs showing AUS/FLUS was 26.3% (5/19). Assuming the observed patients had benign nodules, the lower-bound estimate was 13.5% (5/37).

Discussion

The Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology has greatly facilitated the standardization of FNA reporting. By clarifying ambiguous language and risk-stratifying patients, it has enabled more precise decision making when selecting patients for surgery. Its rationale is also based upon multidisciplinary consensus and a reasonable incidence of malignancy. For instance, the recommendation for clinical follow-up for Bethesda Category II (benign) is based on a 0–3% risk of malignancy, while the recommendation for surgery for Bethesda Category V (suspicious for malignancy) is based on a 60–75% risk (5,6).

However, the appropriate level of risk to assign to Bethesda Category III (AUS/FLUS) nodules remains ambiguous, with some arguing against the need for equivocation in a system designed to minimize it (4,14). Shi et al. found that eliminating the use of AUS/FLUS as a diagnosis considerably decreased FNA sensitivity, increasing false positive and false negative rates while escalating inter- and intra-observer variability (14). Nevertheless, the exact risk of malignancy has been unclear, as many AUS/FLUS nodules are observed with no pathologic confirmation available.

This study examined the histologic outcomes in a large number of AUS/FLUS nodule patients classified with Bethesda criteria and treated at a comprehensive cancer center. The heterogeneity of the AUS/FLUS diagnosis has led to this cytopathologic diagnosis being rendered at rates of up to 18% in some series (12,16), suggesting high variability across institutions. Unlike previous reports, the incidence of AUS/FLUS diagnoses in this study was similar to the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology recommended rate of 7%, indicating that the results are comparable with its intent. As a high percentage of patients underwent immediate surgery after FNA, this cohort distinctively provides pathological confirmation for nodules with an initial AUS/FLUS diagnosis.

Before proceeding, it is important to mention a number of caveats pertaining to our data. Any estimate of the risk of malignancy in indeterminate thyroid nodules is limited by the fact that not all nodules undergo surgery with resultant pathologic analysis. There are a number of factors, described in detail below, that may influence the decision to refer a patient with a Bethesda III thyroid nodule to a specific treatment.
institution and, furthermore, that may influence the decision to triage a patient to surgical resection. These types of “referral bias” and “selection bias” if present, tend to enrich the prevalence of malignancy within Bethesda III nodules. For these reasons, rather than calculating one malignancy rate, we have instead provided both lower- and upper-bound estimates of the prevalence of malignancy in this cohort. Furthermore, we have carefully examined the characteristics of our cohort, and not found evidence of disproportionate bias toward the higher-risk end of the spectrum. Nevertheless, the rate of malignancy in Bethesda III nodules does vary among institutions, and is likely to be higher at a referral center such as ours.

Accordingly, we estimate that the risk of malignancy for an AUS/FLUS nodule is between 26.6% and 37.8%, notably higher than the 5–15% approximation. Such numbers may be elevated enough to justify surgery, not unlike the recommendation for lobectomy based upon Bethesda Category IV risk (15–30%; Fig. 4). Collectively, our results suggest that AUS/FLUS nodules, even at the low “last resort” incidence suggested by the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology, may warrant reconsideration of current recommendations. However, we caution that our results may not be generalizable to AUS/FLUS cohorts substantially different from that described here.

Other published cohorts of smaller size have suggested wide-ranging malignancy risk for AUS/FLUS nodules. Parangi et al. observed an incidence of 16% [CI 10.2–23.6] (18), while VanderLaan et al. reported a prevalence of 46% [CI 36.8–56.1] (16). These and other outcomes have been confounded by study periods predating the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology, an incidence of AUS/FLUS diagnoses that is higher than the 7% expected, and, in some cases, inflation of the malignancy rate with incidental cancers (12–16). Differences may also relate to random variation or institutional differences in pathologic interpretation. Nonetheless, the confidence intervals of these series largely overlap those of the current study, further supporting the higher than expected cancer incidence in AUS/FLUS.

The limitations of cytology underscore the importance of emerging molecular assays in determining the need for surgical intervention. Recent advancements in a gene expression classifier assay using FNA material have demonstrated high negative predictive value in cytologically indeterminate thyroid nodules (Bethesda Classes III–V) (19). As our study supports that the pretest probability of malignancy for AUS/FLUS nodules is >5–15%, such molecular testing may become instrumental to rule out cancer judiciously and reduce unnecessary thyroidectomies. However, a higher pretest probability of malignancy, such as was observed in this cohort, may limit the utility of certain molecular classifiers to reliably determine benignancy (20). It is therefore critical to estimate the rates of malignancy at each institution.

Although the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology recommends repeat FNA for AUS/FLUS, the American Thyroid Association (ATA) is less clear (17), while the AACE/AME/ETA guidelines recommend against repeat FNA due to the possibility of confounding results (8,9). In this study, the incidence of malignancy after one AUS/FLUS diagnosis was notably no different from the incidence of cancer after two (p = 0.98), corresponding to findings by VanderLaan et al. (16). However, the repeat FNA was not indeterminate in 56.3% (54/96) of our patients, raising the question of whether the Bethesda recommendation for repeat FNA may be beneficial in some cases. The
accuracy of the diagnosis rendered on repeat FNA may not be clear. In the two series by Vanderlaan et al. and Renshaw et al., patients with a Bethesda Category III FNA followed by a benign FNA (and who went on to surgery) had malignant disease in 9.4% (3/32) and 28.5% (2/7) of cases respectively (16,21). In our series, 0% (0/2) harbored malignancy, but in actuality most of these patients (39/41) never went on to surgery. Given the small numbers in these subsets, the issue of repeat FNA remains of uncertain value: it is not clear whether a benign diagnosis on repeat FNA should be weighted more or less heavily than the index AUS/FLUS diagnosis. The risk of malignancy in a nodule with separate needle biopsies interpreted as AUS/FLUS and then benign may lie somewhere in between the risk of malignancy for the respective individual categories.

Substratification of thyroid nodules has generated growing interest (21–25), with potential implications for cytology-based risk stratification. Horne et al. subclassified 106 nodules into INa (predominant microfollicular architecture; 7% malignancy rate) and INb (nuclear atypia; 56% malignancy rate) categories (23). These results suggest that indeterminate nodules with nuclear atypia could be at substantially higher risk for malignancy. In our cohort, similar substratification was not informative: the risk of malignancy in “AUS/FLUS—cannot exclude follicular neoplasm” (similar to INa) was 56.4%, while “AUS/FLUS—cannot exclude PTC” (similar to INb) risk was 53.6% (Table 2). Only 7.3% of nodules in our cohort had features corresponding to the higher-risk “INb” category, suggesting this subgroup may be less common. The predominant subcategory identified in our study was “AUS/FLUS—not otherwise specified” (57.2% of patients), which had a 36.2% malignancy rate.

Limitations of the current study include lack of extended follow-up, resulting in possible verification bias. Given the nature of AUS/FLUS management, some observed nodules may never show clinical signs of malignancy and yet may harbor cancer. This would lead our lower-bound estimate to be a significant underestimate of the prevalence of malignancy. The indolent nature of many thyroid cancers makes this scenario very possible. Conversely, the nodules with pathologic specimens for analysis are skewed due to the selection of those patients for surgery: resection could have been chosen due to unfavorable clinical or radiographic features that elevate the likelihood of malignancy. Although various sonographic factors are known predictors of malignancy (17), only hypervascularity, younger age, and increasing size were significant predictors of surgery on univariate analysis. Size in particular may be a major factor, as a 4 cm AUS/FLUS nodule will likely be managed differently from a 1 cm AUS/FLUS nodule. However, none of these was significant on multivariable analysis. Our institutional philosophy of triaging most AUS/FLUS patients to surgery may have superseded these factors. Nonetheless, Nagarkatti et al. similarly found that ultrasonographic features such as microcalcifications, irregular margins, and hypoechogeticity were not associated with a decision to perform surgery (18). Such findings suggest that imaging features predictive for malignancy in all thyroid nodules do not extend to those predictive for surgery, especially in an AUS/FLUS nodule subset.

Importantly, certain higher-risk AUS/FLUS subgroups may be enriched at a cancer center, with such a referral bias potentially inflating the malignancy rate. The elevated cancer incidence could also be ascribed to a lower diagnostic threshold whereby higher-risk Bethesda Categories (IV–VI) are called AUS/FLUS at our institution. The median age, sex breakdown, and median nodule size of patients in this MSKCC cohort are comparable to other reports (19,23). For example, in the molecular classifier study by Alexander et al. (19) that studied 577 patients with indeterminate nodules...

FIG. 4. Adjusted malignancy risk of thyroid nodules by Bethesda classification. The incidence of malignancy for AUS/FLUS observed is higher than that estimated by the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology, and falls within the range where surgical intervention is recommended (Bethesda Category IV). *Conservative estimate assuming all observed/nonsurgical patients are benign. †Aggressive estimate considering only nodules triaged to surgery. MSKCC, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center; SFN, suspicious for neoplasm; SFM, suspicious for malignancy.
(predominantly from community physicians), median age was 52.8 years (54.0 years in this cohort), 78.2% were female (80.4% in this cohort), and median nodule size was 2.2 cm (1.9 cm in this cohort). Analysis of all FNAs performed at our institution during the study period found that the overall breakdown of Bethesda Categories was not skewed toward higher-risk categories (Table 1). Moreover, within the AUS/FLUS cohort itself, the higher-risk subgroups with a higher probability of cancer (“AUS/FLUS—cannot rule out PTC,” corresponding to the INb category of Horne et al. (23)) comprised only 7.3% of our cohort (Table 2). The incidence of PET/CT incidentalomas, which may reflect a higher rate of malignancy, was also not inordinately high (9.9%), and the incidence of cancer within this PET/CT subgroup was modest (13.7%). Nevertheless, we cannot definitely rule out the potential for other, unmeasured sources of bias, and therefore have deliberately described lower- and upper-bound estimates to address such uncertainty. As the prevalence of malignancy may vary between institutions, we believe there is value in presenting our analysis with such a range.

Conclusion

The risk of malignancy in AUS/FLUS thyroid nodules as defined by the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology is higher than estimated, with an estimated prevalence of 26.6–37.8%. The incidence of malignancy after an index AUS/FLUS diagnosis is also similar to the rate after consecutive AUS/FLUS diagnoses, suggesting that repeat FNA may not have clear utility in clinical decision making. The limitations of FNA cytology imply that other diagnostic options, including molecular assays, may be valuable to delineate risk further and assist clinicians in identifying low-risk patients who may not require surgery.
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