

2018

Correction to: Minimum Quality Threshold in Pre-Clinical Sepsis Studies (MQTiPSS): an international expert consensus initiative for improvement of animal modeling in sepsis (Infection, (2018), 10.1007/s15010-018-1183-8)

M. F. Osuchowski

A. Ayala

S. Bahrami

M. Bauer

M. Boros

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/publications>

 Part of the [Pediatrics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Osuchowski MF, Ayala A, Bahrami S, Bauer M, Boros M, Cavaillon JM, Chaudry IH, Deutschman C, Wang P, Zingarelli B, . Correction to: Minimum Quality Threshold in Pre-Clinical Sepsis Studies (MQTiPSS): an international expert consensus initiative for improvement of animal modeling in sepsis (Infection, (2018), 10.1007/s15010-018-1183-8). . 2018 Jan 01; 46(5):Article 4744 [p.]. Available from: <https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/publications/4744>. Free full text article.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. For more information, please contact academicworks@hofstra.edu.

Authors

M. F. Osuchowski, A. Ayala, S. Bahrami, M. Bauer, M. Boros, J. M. Cavaillon, I. H. Chaudry, C. Deutschman, P. Wang, B. Zingarelli, and +21 additional authors



Correction to: Minimum Quality Threshold in Pre-Clinical Sepsis Studies (MQTiPSS): an international expert consensus initiative for improvement of animal modeling in sepsis

Marcin F. Osuchowski¹ · Alfred Ayala² · Soheyl Bahrami¹ · Michael Bauer³ · Mihaly Boros⁴ · Jean-Marc Cavillon⁵ · Irshad H. Chaudry⁶ · Craig M. Coopersmith⁷ · Clifford Deutschman⁸ · Susanne Drechsler¹ · Philip Efron⁹ · Claes Frostell¹⁰ · Gerhard Fritsch^{11,12} · Waldemar Gozdzik¹³ · Judith Hellman¹⁴ · Markus Huber-Lang¹⁵ · Shigeaki Inoue¹⁶ · Sylvia Knapp¹⁷ · Andrey V. Kozlov¹ · Claude Libert^{18,19} · John C. Marshall²⁰ · Lyle L. Moldawer⁹ · Peter Radermacher²¹ · Heinz Redl¹ · Daniel G. Remick²² · Mervyn Singer²³ · Christoph Thiemermann²⁴ · Ping Wang²⁵ · W. Joost Wiersinga²⁶ · Xianzhong Xiao²⁷ · Basilia Zingarelli²⁸

Published online: 17 September 2018
© The Author(s) 2018

Correction to: Infection

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-018-1183-8>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained mistakes.

The Tables 1–3 were missing. The correct versions of Tables 1, 2 and 3 are given below.

Bettina Standhartinger was unfortunately not correctly named in the acknowledgments of the original version of this article. The correct acknowledgements are as follows:

The authors would like to thank Bettina Standhartinger for her valuable assistance in organizing the Wiggers–Bernard Conference.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-018-1183-8>.

✉ Marcin F. Osuchowski
marcin.osuchowski@trauma.lbg.ac.at

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Table 1 Combined Recommendations and Considerations from the Working Group (WG) 1 and 2

Study Design (WG-1)	1. Survival follow-up should reasonably reflect the clinical time course of the sepsis model	R
	2. Therapeutic interventions should be initiated after the septic insult replicating clinical care	
	3. We recommend that the treatment be randomized and blinded when feasible	
	4. Provide as much information as possible (e.g. ARRIVE guidelines) on the model and methodology, to enable replication.	
Humane Modeling (WG-2)	a. Consider replication of the findings in models that include co-morbidity and/or other biological variables (i.e., age, gender, diabetes, cancer, immuno-suppression, genetic background and others).	C
	b. In addition to rodents (mice and rats), consider modeling sepsis also in other (mammal) species.	
	c. Consider need for source control	
	5. The development and validation of standardized criteria to monitor the well-being of septic animals is recommended	
	6. The development and validation of standardized criteria for euthanasia of septic animals is recommended (exceptions possible)	
	7. Analgesics recommended for surgical sepsis consistent with ethical considerations	R
	d. Consider analgesics for nonsurgical sepsis	

R: Recommendation strength; C: consideration strength

Table 2 Combined Recommendations and Considerations from the Working Group (WG) 3 and 4

Infection Types (WG-3)	8. We recommend that challenge with LPS is not an appropriate model for replicating human sepsis	R
	9. We recommend that microorganisms used in animal models preferentially replicate those commonly found in human sepsis	
	e. Consider modeling sepsis syndromes that are initiated at sites other than the peritoneal cavity (e.g. lung, urinary tract, brain)	
Organ Failure/ Dysfunction (WG-4)	10. Organ/system dysfunction is defined as life threatening deviation from normal for that organ/system based on objective evidence	R
	11. Not all activities in an individual organ/system need to be abnormal for organ dysfunction to be present	
	12. To define objective evidence of the severity of organ/system dysfunction, a scoring system should be developed, validated and used, or use an existing scoring system.	
	13. Not all experiments must measure all parameters of organ dysfunction but animal models should be fully exploited	
	f. Avoid hypoglycemia	C

R: Recommendation strength; C: consideration strength

Table 3 Combined Recommendations and Considerations from the Working Group (WG) 5 and 6

Fluid Resuscitation (WG-5)	14. Fluid resuscitation is essential unless part of the study	R
	15. Administer fluid resuscitation based on the specific requirements of the model	
	16. Consider the specific sepsis model for the timing of the start and continuation for fluid resuscitation	
	17. Resuscitation is recommended by the application of iso-osmolar crystalloid solutions	
	g. Consider using pre-defined endpoints for fluid resuscitation as deemed necessary	
	h. Avoid fluid overload	C
Anti-microbial Therapy (WG-6)	18. Antimicrobials are recommended for pre-clinical studies assessing potential human therapeutics	R
	19. Antimicrobials should be chosen based on the model and likely/known pathogen	
	20. Administration of antimicrobials should mimic clinical practice	
	i. Antimicrobials should be initiated after sepsis is established	C

R: Recommendation strength; C: consideration strength

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use,

distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Affiliations

Marcin F. Osuchowski¹ · Alfred Ayala² · Soheyl Bahrami¹ · Michael Bauer³ · Mihaly Boros⁴ · Jean-Marc Cavaillon⁵ · Irshad H. Chaudry⁶ · Craig M. Coopersmith⁷ · Clifford Deutschman⁸ · Susanne Drechsler¹ · Philip Efron⁹ · Claes Frostell¹⁰ · Gerhard Fritsch^{11,12} · Waldemar Gozdzik¹³ · Judith Hellman¹⁴ · Markus Huber-Lang¹⁵ · Shigeaki Inoue¹⁶ · Sylvia Knapp¹⁷ · Andrey V. Kozlov¹ · Claude Libert^{18,19} · John C. Marshall²⁰ · Lyle L. Moldawer⁹ · Peter Radermacher²¹ · Heinz Redl¹ · Daniel G. Remick²² · Mervyn Singer²³ · Christoph Thiemermann²⁴ · Ping Wang²⁵ · W. Joost Wiersinga²⁶ · Xianzhong Xiao²⁷ · Basilia Zingarelli²⁸

¹ Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Experimental and Clinical Traumatology in the AUVA Research Center, Donaueschingenstrasse 13, 1200 Vienna, Austria

² Rhode Island Hospital and Alpert School of Medicine at Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

³ Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

⁴ Institute of Surgical Research, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

⁵ Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

⁶ University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Medicine, Birmingham, AL, USA

⁷ Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

⁸ Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Northwell Health, Manhasset, NY, USA

⁹ University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, USA

¹⁰ Division of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Karolinska Institutet, Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

¹¹ AUVA Traumacenter, Vienna, Austria

¹² Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria

¹³ Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland

¹⁴ School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹⁵ Institute of Clinical and Experimental Trauma-Immunology, University Hospital of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

¹⁶ Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

¹⁷ Department of Medicine 1, Medical University Vienna, Vienna, Austria

¹⁸ Center for Inflammation Research, VIB, Ghent, Belgium

¹⁹ University Ghent, Ghent, Belgium

²⁰ Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

²¹ Institute of Anaesthesiological Pathophysiology and Process Development, University Hospital of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

²² Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

²³ Bloomsbury Institute of Intensive Care Medicine, University College London, London, UK

²⁴ The William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

²⁵ Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Manhasset, NY, USA

²⁶ Division of Infectious Diseases, and Center for Experimental and Molecular Medicine, the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²⁷ Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Chagnsha, Hunan, China

²⁸ Division of Critical Care Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA