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Inpatient use of mobile continuous telemetry for COVID-19 patients treated with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin
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From the Department of Cardiology, Division of Electrophysiology, North Shore University Hospital, Northwell Health, Manhasset, New York.

Introduction
The current COVID-19 pandemic has placed extreme stress on the global health care system. Novel approaches to managing COVID-19 patients are required. Preliminary results from a small trial suggest that a combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin is efficacious for reducing the viral load in patients with COVID-19. Although the arrhythmogenic risk of these medications is low, both of these medications alone, and in combination, can prolong the QT interval. Patients receiving this regimen require, at a minimum, serial electrocardiograms (ECGs), which increases the risk of potential exposures for staff members and requires use of additional personal protective equipment. In addition, many of these patients have pre-existing cardiac conditions, including arrhythmias, and would benefit from inpatient telemetry monitoring. We describe the use of a mobile continuous telemetry monitor (MCOT) in a COVID-19-positive patient with a prior history of atrial fibrillation (AF) who was receiving hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on a non-telemetry floor.

Case report
A 72-year-old woman with paroxysmal AF presented with several days of shortness of breath, cough, fevers, and chills. Her admission chest radiograph revealed a multifocal pneumonia and a nasopharyngeal swab tested positive for COVID-19. She was admitted to a non-telemetry floor with a fever of 102.6°F. At 6:07 PM in the setting of a fever of 102.6°F. treatment with 400 mg of hydroxychloroquine twice daily, and azithromycin was continued, with twice-daily monitoring of her QTc and azithromycin, showed sinus rhythm with an interventricular conduction delay with a QRS duration (QRSd) of 128 ms and a QTc of 458 ms (Figure 1A). On the second day of admission, after the patient had received 1 dose of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, a repeat ECG showed a QRSd of 160 ms with a QTc of 472 ms (Figure 1B). Given that the inpatient telemetry units were fully occupied, and in light of the ECG changes that occurred after a single dose of these medications, an MCOT Patch monitor (BioTelemetry, Malvern, PA) was placed on the patient as a substitute for inpatient telemetry monitoring. Within hours of wearing the MCOT, she had an episode of AF that began at 6:28 PM in the setting of a fever of 102.6°F. At 6:28 PM the ventricular rate exceeded 150 beats per minute (Figure 1C) and the clinical team was notified of the arrhythmia by 6:31 PM. Given that the care providers were notified quickly, the patient was promptly given an additional dose of acetaminophen, flecainide 100 mg, and metoprolol tartrate 25 mg with quick resumption of sinus rhythm. On the following day the MCOT was used to obtain both the QRSd and QTc, which were 160 ms and 520 ms, respectively (Figure 1D). These values correlated with an ECG that was obtained simultaneously (QRSd: 160 ms, QTc: 517 ms). Her course of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin was continued, with twice-daily monitoring of her QTc using the MCOT, without any further arrhythmic events and a stable QTc.

Discussion
This case demonstrates the utility of an MCOT in the inpatient setting for a patient being treated for COVID-19 with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin. Based on the initial data from Gautret and colleagues, there has been a drastic increase in the use of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 continues to rise. In addition to hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, chloroquine has also shown promising results in the treatment of COVID-19. In a similar fashion to hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, chloroquine also can lead to QT prolongation. Our patient’s QTc increased from 458 ms to 520 ms (Δ62 ms) while receiving hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin.
The change in the QTc was, in part, due to an increase in the QRSd from 128 ms to 160 ms (D32 ms), which likely resulted from the additional dose of 100 mg of flecainide. Clinicians treating patients with SARS-CoV-2 must balance the potential benefits of these medications with the increased risks of QT prolongation and torsades des pointes. In the setting of this global pandemic, a 5-day course of these QT-prolonging medications is likely worth the small risk of torsades des pointes, almost irrespective of the patient’s baseline QTc.

As the inpatient volume of COVID-19 patients continues to rise, the ability to monitor every patient that is receiving hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on a telemetry unit will not be possible. Our quaternary hospital, with 392 medical/surgical beds, is only equipped to monitor 192 patients on telemetry (93 of these are intensive care unit beds). As of March 24, 2020, there were 81 COVID-19-positive patients receiving hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin on non-telemetry floors. We are currently in the process of expanding the use of inpatient monitoring with MCOTs to all of these patients and to similar patients at 4 other hospitals in our health system. The MCOT monitor, which uses the Bazett formula to derive the QTc, received Food and Drug Administration approval for use in patients that require measurement, analysis, and reporting of the QT interval in 2017. In addition to QT monitoring, as this case demonstrates, the use of an MCOT Patch monitor results in a near real-time notification when an arrhythmia occurs. In our experience, there was a delay of 3 minutes between when the patient’s ventricular rate went above the notification threshold to the point when the clinical team was alerted. Although a span of 3 minutes may be an exceedingly long time for patients that develop ventricular arrhythmias, the ability to serially monitor the QTc intervals of these patients may facilitate a clinical intervention prior to those arrhythmias occurring. Most important, given the bed shortage, monitoring with an MCOT is a significant improvement when compared to no monitoring at all. Furthermore, given that the patch can be

**Figure 1** Electrocardiograms (ECG) and mobile continuous telemetry recordings from a patient with COVID-19 treated with hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and azithromycin (AZM). A: Baseline ECG prior to HCQ/AZM, QRS duration (QRSd): 128 ms, QTc: 458 ms. B: ECG after 1 dose of HCQ/AZM, QRSd: 160 ms, QTc: 472 ms. C: Notification from mobile continuous telemetry (MCOT) of atrial fibrillation with a ventricular rate >150 beats per minute. D: Notification from MCOT with QTc measurement (520 ms).
left in place for the full 5-day course of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, widespread use of the MCOTs will lead to a significant reduction in the number of potential exposures to health care workers and a reduction in the need for personal protective equipment, as obtaining serial ECGs to monitor the QT interval will no longer be necessary.

Conclusion
As our health care system continues to be inundated with COVID-19 patients, the need for unique and innovative approaches to manage these patients becomes increasingly important. With the rising use of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin or chloroquine, and a finite number of telemetry beds, new methods for cardiac rhythm monitoring of inpatients on non-telemetry floors is needed. This case report demonstrates that an MCOT can provide both prompt notification when an arrhythmia occurs and serial QTc measurements for inpatients on non-telemetry floors.
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