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Management of Lung Nodules and Lung Cancer Screening During the COVID-19 Pandemic
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BACKGROUND: The risks from potential exposure to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and resource reallocation that has occurred to combat the pandemic, have altered the balance of benefits and harms that informed current (pre-COVID-19) guideline recommendations for lung cancer screening and lung nodule evaluation. Consensus statements were developed to guide clinicians managing lung cancer screening programs and patients with lung nodules during the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS: An expert panel of 24 members, including pulmonologists (n = 17), thoracic radiologists (n = 5), and thoracic surgeons (n = 2), was formed. The panel was provided with an overview of current evidence, summarized by recent guidelines related to lung cancer screening and lung nodule evaluation. The panel was convened by video teleconference to discuss and then vote on statements related to 12 common clinical scenarios. A predefined threshold of 70% of panel members voting agree or strongly agree was used to determine if there was a consensus for each statement. Items that may influence decisions were listed as notes to be considered for each scenario.

RESULTS: Twelve statements related to baseline and annual lung cancer screening (n = 2), surveillance of a previously detected lung nodule (n = 5), evaluation of intermediate and high-risk lung nodules (n = 4), and management of clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer (n = 1) were developed and modified. All 12 statements were confirmed as consensus statements according to the voting results. The consensus statements provide guidance about situations in which it was believed to be appropriate to delay screening, defer surveillance imaging of lung nodules, and minimize nonurgent interventions during the evaluation of lung nodules and stage I non-small cell lung cancer.

CONCLUSIONS: There was consensus that during the COVID-19 pandemic, it is appropriate to defer enrollment in lung cancer screening and modify the evaluation of lung nodules due

ABBREVIATIONS: CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CHEST = the American College of Chest Physicians; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 19; Lung-RADS = Lung CT Screening Reporting and Data System; pCA = probability of malignancy

AFFILIATIONS: From the Respiratory Institute (Dr Mazzone) and Department of Medicine (Dr Choi), Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; Department of Research and Evaluation (Dr Gould), Kaiser Permanente Research, Pasadena, CA; Division of Pulmonary
In some parts of the world, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has stressed the health-care systems close to or even past their breaking point. Rightfully, much of the attention to date has focused on the immediate needs of patients suffering from the disease, particularly those who are critically ill. The strain on health-care systems and the need to control the virus using containment (testing and isolating cases) and mitigation (social distancing and shelter-in-place orders) have affected the care of patients with other common medical disorders. Clinicians have been forced to balance the risk of delaying potentially necessary evaluation and management against the risks of exposing patients to the virus in hospital settings, or exposing health-care workers to patients who may be asymptomatic carriers of the disease. This situation is further complicated by the re-allocation of resources, including personnel, to appropriately evaluate and treat patients with COVID-19.

Two related clinical situations that bring these issues into sharp focus are lung cancer screening and the evaluation and management of incidentally detected lung nodules. Current guidelines for lung cancer screening from CHEST (the American College of Chest Physicians), the United States Preventive Services Taskforce, and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommend annual low-dose chest CT screening for high-risk individuals, where the benefit of screening is believed to outweigh the harms.1-3 Similarly, CHEST, the Fleischner Society, the British Thoracic Society, and the American College of Radiology have published guidelines with recommendations that balance the benefit and harms of evaluating incidental and screen-detected lung nodules.4-7 The recommendations for small nodules are based on the size and attenuation characteristics of the nodule as well as the presence of lung cancer risk factors, whereas those for larger nodules are based on the estimated probability of malignancy (pCA) and the yield of additional testing.4,6

Clinical prediction models have been developed and validated to assess the pCA in nodules. These models can be used to help guide decisions about the selection and interpretation of additional diagnostic testing.8-11 Management decisions generally fall into three categories based on the estimated pCA of the nodule. For those in whom the pCA is low (defined as < 5%-15% in different guidelines),4,7 surveillance imaging is...
recommended. When the pCA is intermediate (defined as 5%-15% to 65%-70% in different guidelines),\textsuperscript{4-7} functional imaging (a PET scan) and/or a nonsurgical biopsy (bronchoscopy or transthoracic needle biopsy) is recommended. When the pCA is high (> 65%-70% in different guidelines), direct referral for surgical resection is suggested if technically feasible and the patient is otherwise fit.\textsuperscript{4-7} Although these recommendations seem straightforward, factors such as patient comorbidities and patient and provider preferences often influence the management strategy. The overarching goal of management is to avoid invasive procedures in patients who have benign nodules while expeditiously treating those that are malignant.

Performing a screening examination, and the evaluation of lung nodules, carries an added risk during the COVID-19 pandemic. There is added risk to the patient, other patients, and health-care providers from exposure to the health-care environment and the contact that occurs during testing. Recovery from surgical resection may be influenced by asymptomatic carriage of the virus. These added risks may upset the balance of benefit and harm struck by current (pre-COVID-19) guideline recommendations. There is also a shift in health-care resources, toward cancelling elective procedures and imaging, in areas where COVID-19 is surging, or where systems are preparing for a surge, making it more difficult to adhere to available guidelines. These exposure risks and resource constraints have led the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to suggest that nonurgent care be deferred.\textsuperscript{12}

To date, clinicians and hospital systems have been independently determining how to modify their screening and nodule management programs during the pandemic. The purpose of the current consensus statement was to provide expert opinion to clinicians in regards to the performance of lung cancer screening and the management of patients with pulmonary nodules (detected either incidentally or by screening) in a manner that is consistent with current CDC COVID-19 guidance.

---

**Materials and Methods**

The rationale for developing the consensus statement was discussed by the project leaders (P. J. M., M. K. G., G. A. S.) who then proposed the idea to CHEST leadership. With their support, the scope of the document, clinical scenarios, statements, and clarifying notes related to the scenarios were iteratively developed by the project leaders. A multidisciplinary panel of experts in lung cancer screening and pulmonary nodule evaluation was convened. The panel was tasked with developing a consensus statement on the management of screen-detected lung nodules during the pandemic to provide expert opinion to clinicians in regards to the performance of lung cancer screening and the management of patients with pulmonary nodules (detected either incidentally or by screening) in a manner that is consistent with current CDC COVID-19 guidance.

---

**TABLE 1** Current (Pre-COVID-19) Guidelines for the Evaluation of Solid Lung Nodules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nodule</th>
<th>CHEST\textsuperscript{4}</th>
<th>The Fleischner Society\textsuperscript{5}</th>
<th>Lung-RADS\textsuperscript{7,a}</th>
<th>BTS\textsuperscript{8}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 6 mm (100 mm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>LR: ≤ 4 mm optional follow-up &gt; 4-6 mm, 12-mo follow-up HR: ≤ 4 mm, 12-mo follow-up &gt; 4-6 mm, 6- to 12-mo follow-up</td>
<td>LR: no follow-up HR: optional 12 mo</td>
<td>RTAS (category 2) For new 4-6 mm, 6 mo</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mm: no follow-up 5-6 mm: 12 mo, 24 mo if stable on diameter, discharge if stable volume, option for further surveillance or evaluation if &gt; 400-d VDT, evaluate if ≤ 400-d VDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 6 to &lt; 8 mm (100-250 mm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>LR: 6- to 12-mo follow-up HR: 3- to 6-mo follow-up</td>
<td>LR: 6-12 mo (3-6 mo if multiple), then consider at 18-24 mo HR: 6-12 mo (3-6 mo if multiple), then 18-24 mo</td>
<td>6 mo (category 3) 3 mo if new (category 4A)</td>
<td>3 mo then 12 mo after baseline if VDT &gt; 400 d, then as &lt; 6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 8 mm (250 mm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>&lt; 5% risk, then surveillance in 3 mo 5%-65% risk, then PET/CT scan ± nonsurgical biopsy &gt; 65% risk then proceed directly to treatment after staging and physiology testing</td>
<td>Consider CT scan at 3 mo, PET/CT scan, or tissue sampling</td>
<td>For 8-15 mm, 3 mo (category 4A) ≥ 15, ≥ 8, and new or growing, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td>Assess using Brock model &lt; 10% risk, then surveillance as above &gt; 10% risk, then PET/CT scan and Herder model (&lt; 10% surveillance, &gt; 70% consider resection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BTS = British Thoracic Society; CHEST = the American College of Chest Physicians; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; HR = high-risk; LR = low-risk; Lung-RADS = Lung CT Screening Reporting and Data System; RTAS = return to annual screening; VDT = volume doubling time.

\textsuperscript{a}Lung-RADS was designed to be used in the context of screen-detected lung nodules.
invited to participate. The usual CHEST conflict of interest review process for consensus statements was waived due to the rapid development of this statement and the nature of the content. All authors reported their potential conflicts as part of the publication process. The project leaders performed a search of current guidelines on the management of lung nodules. Guidelines relevant to the content of the scenarios were reviewed and a slide set summary was developed and distributed to panel members.4-7 Tables 1 and 2 reflect current (pre-COVID-19) guidelines.

### TABLE 2  Current (Pre-COVID-19) Guidelines for the Evaluation of Subsolid Lung Nodules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHEST4</th>
<th>The Fleischner Society5</th>
<th>Lung-RADS7</th>
<th>BTS6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 6 mm</td>
<td>GG: No routine follow-up</td>
<td>GG: &lt; 30 mm or any size and unchanged: RTAS (category 2)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mm: No follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: &lt; 6 mm</td>
<td>PS: No routine follow-up</td>
<td>PS: &lt; 6 mm: baseline RTAS (category 2), new 6-mo CT (category 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple: CT scan at 3-6 mo, consider CT at 2 and 4 y if stable</td>
<td>Multiple: 3-6 mo then based on most suspicious nodule</td>
<td>Multiple: 3-6 mo then based on most suspicious nodule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 6 mm</td>
<td>GG: 6-12 mo then every 2 y until 5 y</td>
<td>GG: &gt; 30 mm or new: 6-mo CT scan (category 3)</td>
<td>≥ 5 mm: 3-mo CT growth or altered morphology favors resection, stable, use Brock model, &lt; 10% then CT scan at 1, 2, and 4 y from baseline, &gt; 10% or concerning morphology, surveillance, biopsy, or resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm</td>
<td>PS: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and &lt; 4 mm, 3-mo CT (category 4A); solid component ≥ 8 mm or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≤ 8 mm, indeterminate or new</td>
<td>GG: &lt; 30 mm or any size and unchanged: RTAS (category 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≤ 30 mm or new: 6-mo CT scan (category 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: &lt; 6 mm Solid or new or growing and &lt; 4 mm, 3-mo CT (category 4A); solid component ≥ 8 mm or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG: ≥ 6 mm Solid or new or growing and ≥ 4 mm, further evaluation (category 4B)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GG = ground-glass; PS = part-solid. See Table 1 legend for expansion of other abbreviations.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

Figure 1 – Pre-coronavirus disease 19 management algorithm for the evaluation of 8- to 30-mm solid nodules. **Bronchoscopy or transthoracic needle biopsy. RFA = radiofrequency ablation; SBRT = stereotactic body radiation therapy. (Reprinted with permission from Gould et al.1)**
Results

In addition to the three project leaders, 21 panel members were invited, and all 21 agreed to participate. The specialties of the project leaders and panel members included pulmonology (n = 17), thoracic radiology (n = 5), and thoracic surgery (n = 2).

Twelve scenarios were developed, each with a statement to vote on. Each statement included notes of clarification. Voting results for each scenario are provided in Table 3. The voting results for all statements exceeded the threshold of 70% of panel members voting agree or strongly agree. The scenarios, statements, and notes are listed here.

Lung Cancer Screening: Baseline and Annual

Scenario 1: An individual who meets eligibility criteria is referred to your lung cancer screening program: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is suggested that the initiation of screening be delayed.

Note:

- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

Scenario 2: An individual who meets eligibility criteria is due for their repeat annual chest CT screening examination (Lung CT Screening Reporting and Data System [Lung-RADS] category 1 or 2 on their prior screening examination): Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is suggested that the annual screening examination be delayed.

TABLE 3 ] Voting Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>% Agree or Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Delay initiation of screening</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Delay annual screening</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Delay surveillance of solid nodule &lt; 8 mm</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Delay surveillance of Lung-RADS category 3 nodule</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Delay surveillance of ground-glass nodule</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Delay surveillance of part-solid 6-8 mm nodule</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7: Delay surveillance of solid nodule ≥ 8 mm, pCA &lt; 10%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8: Monitor solid nodule ≥ 8 mm, pCA 10%-25%, in 3-6 mo</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9: Monitor part-solid nodule ≥ 8 mm in 3-6 mo</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: Evaluate solid nodule ≥ 8 mm, pCA 65%-85%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: Avoid further diagnostic testing of solid nodule ≥ 8 mm, pCA &gt; 85%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: Consider delay in treatment of stage I NSCLC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; pCA = probability of malignancy. See Table 1 legend for expansion of other abbreviation.
Note:

- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

### Surveillance of a Previously Detected Lung Nodule

**Scenario 3:** A patient is due now for a surveillance CT scan of the chest for an incidentally detected solid nodule, < 8 mm in average diameter: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to delay the surveillance CT scan for approximately 3 to 6 months.

Note:

- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest a surveillance CT scan 6 to 12 months after the nodule was identified based on nodule size and clinical and imaging features.4-6
- Solid nodules < 8 mm in average diameter typically have a probability of malignancy of < 2%.5,7
- Factors that may influence the decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

**Scenario 4:** A patient is due now for a surveillance chest CT scan for evaluation of a screening-detected lung nodule (Lung-RADS category 3): Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to delay surveillance for approximately 3 to 6 months.

Note:

- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest a surveillance chest CT scan 6 months after the nodule was identified.5
- Lung-RADS category 3 nodules are considered to have a 1% to 2% probability of malignancy.7
- Lung-RADS category 3 includes solid nodules ≥ 6 mm to < 8 mm in diameter, part-solid nodules with the solid component < 6 mm in diameter, new solid nodules 4 to < 6 mm in diameter, new part-solid nodules < 6 mm in diameter, and pure ground-glass nodules ≥ 30 mm.7
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

**Scenario 5:** A patient is due now for a surveillance chest CT scan for an incidentally detected pure ground-glass nodule: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to delay surveillance of any size pure ground-glass nodule for approximately 3 to 6 months.

Note:

- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest surveillance of most pure ground-glass nodules (except for solitary nodules < 6 mm in diameter) at varying intervals based on the number of nodules and nodule size.4-7
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

**Scenario 6:** A patient is due now for a surveillance chest CT scan for an incidentally (or screening) detected part-solid lung nodule with the solid component 6 to 8 mm in diameter: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to delay surveillance for approximately 3 to 6 months.

Note:

- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest a surveillance CT scan 3 months after the nodule was identified.4-7
- This scenario corresponds to a Lung-RADS category 4A screening-detected nodule.7
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

**Scenario 7:** A patient is due now for a 3-month surveillance CT scan of the chest for an incidentally detected solid nodule, ≥ 8 mm in average diameter (or a Lung-RADS category 4 screening-detected lung nodule). You estimate the probability of malignancy to be < 10%: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to delay the surveillance CT scan for approximately 3 to 6 months.
Note:
- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest a surveillance CT scan 3 months after the nodule was identified.\(^4,6,7\)
- Factors that may influence the decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

**Evaluation of Intermediate- and High-Risk Lung Nodules**

Scenario 8: A patient presents for evaluation of an incidentally detected solid nodule ≥ 8 mm in diameter (or a Lung-RADS category 4 screening-detected lung nodule). You estimate the probability of malignancy to be 10% to 25%: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to re-evaluate the patient with a chest CT scan in approximately 3 to 6 months.

Note:
- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest further evaluation with PET/CT imaging and/or a nonsurgical biopsy for the patient described.\(^4,6,7\)
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

Scenario 9: A patient presents for evaluation of an incidentally detected (or screening-detected) part-solid lung nodule with the solid component ≥ 8 mm in diameter: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer nonurgent care, it is acceptable to monitor the nodule with a chest CT scan in approximately 3 to 6 months.

Note:
- Current recommendations vary, suggesting further evaluation with PET/CT imaging, a nonsurgical biopsy, or surveillance with a short-interval chest CT scan if the nodule is believed to be inflammatory.\(^4,7\)
- This scenario corresponds to a Lung-RADS category 4B screening-detected nodule.\(^7\)
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.

Scenario 10: A patient presents for evaluation of an incidentally detected solid nodule ≥ 8 mm in diameter (or a Lung-RADS category 4 screening-detected lung nodule). You estimate the probability of malignancy to be 65% to 85%: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to defer procedures and surgery when reasonable, it is acceptable to evaluate the patient with a PET scan and/or nonsurgical biopsy to ensure there is a need to proceed to treatment (surgical resection or stereotactic radiotherapy).

Note:
- Current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest that you consider proceeding directly to surgical resection (if medically fit) for the patient described. PET imaging would be suggested as part of an acceptable staging evaluation.\(^4,6\)
- For solid nodules ≥ 8 mm in diameter (or a Lung-RADS category 4 screening-detected lung nodule) with a probability of malignancy 25% to 65%, current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations suggest further evaluation with a PET scan and/or nonsurgical biopsy. We are not suggesting a change for this group.\(^4,6,7\)
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.
- If the patient happens to have prior imaging, and there is evidence that the nodule is a slow-growing, potentially indolent cancer, one may consider delaying the evaluation.

Scenario 11: A patient presents for evaluation of an incidentally detected solid nodule ≥ 8 mm in diameter (or a Lung-RADS category 4 screening-detected lung nodule). You estimate the probability of malignancy to be > 85%: Consensus statement: During the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with CDC guidance to minimize exposure to the health-care environment, it is acceptable to avoid further diagnostic testing and proceed to an empiric treatment decision (ie, surgical resection or stereotactic radiotherapy).

Note:
- This statement is in keeping with current (pre-COVID-19) recommendations for management of the
patient described.\textsuperscript{4,6} We are not suggesting a change for this group.

- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, patient values, and comorbid conditions.
- Pretreatment physiologic testing and an appropriate staging evaluation should be performed.
- If the patient happens to have prior imaging, and there is evidence that the nodule is a slow-growing, potentially indolent cancer, one may consider delaying treatment.

Management of Clinical Stage I Non-small Cell Lung Cancer

Scenario 12: A patient has been diagnosed with a clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer: Consensus statement: Treatment of clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer may be delayed, consistent with CDC guidance to defer surgery when reasonable, after taking into consideration an assessment of the size of the cancer, growth rate of the cancer (if serial imaging is available), fluorodeoxyglucose/PET avidity of the primary tumor, patient values, and the general health and fitness of the patient.

Note:

- The patient’s care should be discussed in a multidisciplinary tumor board setting if available.
- If testing suggests an indolent or very early cancer, a delay in treatment may be considered.
- If testing suggests poor general health or fitness, a delay in treatment may be considered.
- Factors that may influence this decision include COVID-19 penetrance in the community and hospital, availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, availability of resources, the availability of other sites that could accommodate the patient, patient values, and co-morbid conditions.

Discussion

The current article provides expert consensus-based statements about the care of individuals who are eligible for lung cancer screening and patients with pulmonary nodules detected either incidentally or by screening during the COVID-19 pandemic. The statements are consistent with guidance from the CDC to defer nonurgent care while health-care systems respond to the anticipated surge of COVID-19 cases and while social distancing and other mitigation measures are in place. It is important to note that the situation is fluid, and it is not possible at this time to determine when it will be advisable to return to usual care practices. That said, we suspect that the statements will remain valid in most countries for at least the next 3 to 6 months.

Consensus was unanimous for recommendations to delay baseline or repeat annual screening (statements 1-2), and > 95% of panelists agreed to delay the evaluation of pulmonary nodules detected incidentally or by screening that have a low probability of cancer or are likely to be an indolent cancer (statements 3-6). Such nodules include solid nodules measuring < 8 mm in average diameter, pure ground-glass opacities of any size, and part-solid nodules in which the solid component measures 6 to 8 mm in average diameter. Evaluation beyond the next surveillance scan will be influenced by the interval that had passed and the result of the surveillance scan.

Consensus was less uniform but still strong for recommendations to delay or modify the evaluation and management of patients with nodules measuring > 8 mm in average diameter (statements 7-11) (Table 3). For such nodules with a pCA < 25%, there was consensus that evaluation could be delayed for 3 to 6 months. In contrast, most panel members agreed that evaluation with PET or nonsurgical biopsy should occur when the pCA is 25% to 85%, with subsequent referral for treatment when cancer is confirmed or more strongly suspected. Presumably, this approach will reduce the frequency of avoidable surgery for patients with benign nodules compared with a strategy that follows current (pre-COVID-19) guidelines (65%-70% pCA threshold to consider proceeding directly to surgery),\textsuperscript{4,6} at a time when hospital resources are being redirected to the care of patients with COVID-19. Based on a similar line of reasoning, there was consensus that patients with a very high pCA (> 85%) do not require additional diagnostic testing and can proceed directly to a treatment decision, thereby minimizing pretreatment procedures that may pose a risk to the patient or members of the health-care team (with the caveat that the patient should undergo appropriate staging and pretreatment physiological assessment in keeping with the principle of minimizing the use of invasive procedures and testing that generates aerosolized viral particles and that allows for judicious use of personal protective equipment).

Although there was universal consensus that treatment of stage I non-small cell lung cancer could be delayed in
certain circumstances during the COVID-19 mitigation period, decision-making in these cases should be guided by considerations such as the degree of hypermetabolism or growth rate of the tumor, the fitness of the patient for curative treatment, and patient preferences. Evaluation and treatment decisions for patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer and those with nodules at intermediate or high risk of cancer (pCA >25%) should ideally be guided by multidisciplinary input and discussion, to ensure that all factors are weighed, and that management is appropriately individualized.

Patient preferences should be taken into account in all of the scenarios because individual patients are likely to differ in how they perceive the potential benefits and harms associated with delayed or modified evaluation and management. This highlights the importance of communication about the rationale for decisions with these patients. Pre-COVID-19 deficiencies in patient communication about lung nodule management are well documented. During the COVID-19 pandemic, where more communication is occurring over virtual platforms, these challenges are likely to be magnified. It is incumbent on providers to plan for these communication challenges by developing strategies and tools for communication of lung cancer risk and nodule management on these platforms.

As much as possible, patient management should be based on evidence and reflect a balance of benefits and harms of particular management approaches. Although many aspects of these scenarios have been reasonably defined in pre-COVID-19 settings, the COVID-19 pandemic introduces additional risks. The magnitude of these risks is not well defined and is likely variable depending on the local situation. The voting reflects confidence among the expert panel that sufficient evidence exists that the risk of a delay in screening, in surveillance imaging, in avoidance of biopsy procedures, or delaying management of an early cancer in the 12 scenarios is low, and an estimate that the risks related to COVID-19 posed by proceeding with pre-COVID-19 recommendations are probably higher during the active phase of the pandemic. Given the limited information available to clinicians, we encourage providers and patients to consider guidance from this document and those of other professional societies.

The authors of this consensus statement recognize that our statements should not be interpreted as one-size-fits-all and that what is appropriate now will change over time. Application of a general assessment to an individual patient requires the clinical judgment of the management team. In addition to considering patient factors and values, we have attempted to highlight that local factors, such as the prevalence of COVID-19 in the community, the availability of rapid COVID-19 testing, the adequacy of resources (personnel, imaging equipment, personal protective equipment), local policies, and the presence of other care delivery sites that are less affected by COVID, should be considered when making individual decisions.

We hope these statements are helpful and provide some reassurance and direction to individuals who are eligible for lung cancer screening, patients with lung nodules, and the clinicians who are caring for them during this challenging time.
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