Calyceal diverticula: a comprehensive review
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Calyceal diverticula are rare outpouchings of the upper collecting system that likely have a congenital origin. Stones can be found in up to 50% of calyceal diverticula, although, over the combined reported series, 96% of patients presented with stones. Diagnosis is best made by intravenous urography or computed tomography urogram. Shock wave lithotripsy (SWL) is an option for first-line therapy in patients with stone-bearing diverticula that have radiologically patent necks in mid- to upper-pole diverticula and small stone burdens. Stone-free rates are the lowest with SWL, although patients report being asymptomatic following therapy in up to 75% of cases with extended follow-up. Ureteroscopy (URS) is best suited for management of anteriorly located mid- to upper-pole diverticular stones. Drawbacks to URS include difficulty in identifying the ostium and low rate of obliteration. Percutaneous management is best used in posteriorly located mid- to lower-pole stones, and offers the ability to directly ablate the diverticulum. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy remains effective in the management of upper-pole diverticula, but carries the risk of pulmonary complications unless subcostal access strategies such as triangulation or renal displacement are used. Laparoscopic surgery provides definitive management, but should be reserved for cases with large stones in anteriorly located diverticula with thin overlying parenchyma, and cases that are refractory to other treatment. This article reviews the current theories on the pathogenesis of calyceal diverticula. The current classification is examined in addition to the current diagnostic methods. Here we summarize an extensive review of the literature on the outcomes of the different treatment approaches.
Calyceal diverticula are eva-

tions of the upper collect-
ing system lying within the
renal parenchyma.1 These nonse-
cretery outpouchings are lined by
transitional cell epithelium and
communicate with the main col-
clecting system via a narrow chan-
el, allowing for passive filling with
urine. They were first described in
1841 by Rayer in “Traitements des
maladies des reins.”2 Thought to
be either cysts or localized hydro-

As the study was performed on
adults, although the majority of investigators have favored congenital over
acquired origins. Furthermore, the similarity in incidence in children
and adults is consistent with an embryologic cause.

Epidemiology
Calyceal diverticula are found in
0.2% to 0.6% of intravenous uro-
grams (IVU) performed on adults,
with a similar prevalence in chi-

lend the analysis by
Abeshouse and Abeshouse, who
showed a 12:3:2 pattern dominated
by the upper pole.17 The disease
process affects women (63%) more
commonly than men (37%), and
has no predilection toward a par-
ticular side of the body. Average
diverticulum size across the series
is 1.72 cm and ranges from 0.5 to
7.5 cm. Stones have reportedly been
found in 9.5% to 50% of cases;2,20 in
the combined series, this number
reaches 96% and average stone size
is 12.1 mm and ranges from 1 to
30 mm (Table 1).

There is no consensus regarding the cause of calyceal diverticula,
although the majority of investigators have favored congenital over
acquired origins. Furthermore, the similarity in incidence in children
and adults is consistent with an embryologic cause.

Cause
There is no consensus regarding
the cause of calyceal diverticula,
although the majority of inves-
tigators have favored congeni-
tal over acquired origins.17,18,22,23
Furthermore, the similarity in
incidence in children and adults
is consistent with an embryologic
cause.17,20,22,24 One proposed eti-
ology is the formation of a diver-
ticulum during branching of the
ureteral bud into the metanephric
blastema; if one of the branchings
fails to stimulate an appropriate sec-
tion of the metanephros, a diver-
ticulum results.19,20 A second proposed
etiology centered on disordered
branching describes the future renal
pelvis as having first-order branches
that become major calyces, second-
order branches that become minor
calyces, and further branching to
the 15th order. In this schema, the
higher orders persist as collecting
tubules whereas the lower orders
degenerate; calyceal diverticula,
then, are thought to be branches
that persist because of failed degen-
eration.25 Even among the pro-
ponents of an embryologic cause
of calyceal diverticula, there is no
consensus about the timing of the
anomaly relative to birth. Schwartz
and colleagues postulated that a
malformation occurs early in de-
velopment. This was supported by
the discovery of the association of
calyceal diverticula with butterfly verte-
brae, or the result of the faulty union
of two halves of the cartilaginous vertebral bodies. Butterfly vertebral
form at approximately 35 days of
development, which is essentially
the same time as the development of
the ureteric bud.26 Other authors
have supported a timeline that places
the formation of calyceal diverticula
just before birth.27

Potentially acquired causes
of calyceal diverticula can be broadly
classified as obstructive, neuro-
muscular, traumatic, or fibrotic.
Obstruction has been proposed as
a factor secondary to stone forma-
tion28 or infection either within
the calyx or from a localized corti-
cal abscess draining into a calyx.29
An alternative potential acquired
cause is derived from dysfunction
within sphincters surrounding the
calyces that facilitate synchronized
filling and emptying. Such calyeal
achalasia results in chronic ineffi-
cient emptying, progressive dilata-
tion proximal to the sphincter, and
subsequent formation of a diver-
ticulum.30-32 Flank trauma has
also been reported as a presenting
factor in patients found to have caly-
ceal diverticula.9 Finally, progress-
ive fibrosis of an infundibulum is
an alternative theoretical cause.
Examination of surgical specimens
has failed to reveal pathological
findings that would support any of
the aforementioned as causes rather
than concurrent findings.

Classification
Calyceal diverticula are classified as
type I, those communicating with a
minor calyx or an infundibulum,
or type II, those emanating from
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Stones</th>
<th>Stone Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoznek A et al[34]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritchie AW et al[51]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 mm (5-15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psihramis and Dretler[52]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9 mm (1-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streem and Yost[53]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7.9 mm (3-15 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendrikkx AJ et al[74]</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.2 mm (4-30 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monga M et al[70]</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.2 mm (4-30 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulbert JC et al[57]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1-7.5)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedelin H et al[57]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8-2.7)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8-16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis JH et al[68]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8-4.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2-27 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalhav AL et al[69]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Basam S et al[62]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liatsikos EN et al[38]</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.27 (1-4.8)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>17 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim SC et al[72]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones JA et al[5]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.3 (0.5-2.8)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auge BK et al[36]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landry JL et al[95]</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21-69</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turna B et al[76]</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuchs and David[79]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.7 mm (4-20 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batter and Dretler[90]</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5-1.7 cm</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasso M et al[83]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auge BK et al[82]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller SD et al[95]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harewood LM et al[93]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.9 cm (1.2-3.1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>497</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age reported in 270 cases, sex in 285, location in 343.
the renal pelvis or a major calyx. Type II diverticula are larger, tend to be symptomatic, and are located in the central part of the kidney.\textsuperscript{19} Dretler proposed an alternative classification scheme that includes both anatomical description as well as his recommended treatment for each. In this system, a type I diverticulum has an open mouth and short neck, type II has a closed mouth and short neck, type III has a closed mouth and long neck, and type IV has an obliterated neck; shock-wave lithotripsy (SWL) was recommended for type I, ureterorenoscopy for type II, had definable metabolic abnormalities.\textsuperscript{35} Similarly, Auge and colleagues found that all diverticula patients in their series receiving a complete metabolic workup were found to have at least one metabolic abnormality, with hypercalciciuria and hyperuricosuria being the most common among them. However, there was no statistically significant difference between the numbers of metabolic abnormalities in diverticulum patients versus those in a group of randomly selected stone-forming patients.\textsuperscript{36} Matlaga and colleagues reported that diverticular stone patients have a urinary calcium excretion similar to that of calcium oxalate stone formers, suggesting a metabolic component to the pathogenesis of diverticular stones; however, urine aspirated directly from the diverticula in this study had a lower supersaturation of calcium oxalate compared with ipsi- and contralateral renal pelves, thus also supporting urinary stasis as a contributing factor.\textsuperscript{37} Liatsikos and associates found a threefold greater incidence of metabolic abnormalities in patients with simple renal stones compared with those with calyceal diverticular stones, and concluded that metabolic abnormalities do not promote calyceal diverticular calculous formation.\textsuperscript{38} Adequate imaging is essential to the diagnosis of calyceal diverticula, which are radiolucent and therefore cannot be seen on a plain radiograph. A diverticulum containing milk of calcium appears as a semilunar density with a fluidattenuation areas from within the contrasting radiolucent cavities.\textsuperscript{41-43} On early phase contrast computed tomography (CT), calyceal diverticula appear as small, round, low-attenuation areas adjacent to the calyces. Delayed contrast images can show filling of this area with minimal overlying cortex.\textsuperscript{44} Retrograde pyelogram can be used to confirm the diagnosis or to further investigate questionable cases, although it is often unnecessary. Differential diagnoses, which must be distinguished from calyceal diverticula on imaging, include hydrocalyx, simple cyst, parapelvic cyst, tubercular cavity, papillary necrosis, and renal tumor. Hydrocalycosis is simply hydronephrosis of a calyx secondary to infundibular obstruction. Simple cysts are unilocular and do not connect with the pelvicalyceal system. Furthermore, cysts are lined with cuboidal epithelium, whereas IVU, calyceal diverticula have the appearance of opacified cystic cavities, which communicate with the renal collecting system.\textsuperscript{20,40} Note, however, that the diverticulum itself is nonsecretory, and relies on retrograde flow from the collecting system through the ostium to fill the cavity. Therefore, the filling of a diverticulum with contrast on IVU relies on a patent neck, and opacification of the cavity may be delayed or nonexistent. Wulfsohn’s two types of diverticula can be differentiated based on the filling pattern of contrast in an IVU: type I diverticula take on a bulbous form with a narrow infundibulum, whereas type II varieties appear more spherical and have shorter necks.\textsuperscript{40} On ultrasound, calyceal diverticula appear to have similar appearance and echotexture as cysts unless filled with stones. In this case, the hypechoic stones appear as mobile, position dependent, and with acoustic shadowing emanating from within the contrasting radiolucent cavities.\textsuperscript{41-43} 

Calyceal diverticula are classified as type I, those communicating with a minor calyx or an infundibulum, or type II, those emanating from the renal pelvis or a major calyx. Type II diverticula are larger, tend to be symptomatic, and are located in the central part of the kidney.

Diagnosis

The majority of patients with calyceal diverticula are asymptomatic and the diagnosis is made on imaging performed for other reasons. One-third to one-half of patients, however, present with flank pain, urinary tract infection, and/or hematuria.\textsuperscript{1} There is no history, physical examination, or laboratory findings that are specific to the diagnosis of calyceal diverticula. Although urinary stasis and increased particle retention time play a role in the pathogenesis of diverticular stones,\textsuperscript{34} there is no consensus regarding the role of metabolic abnormalities. Hsu and Streem reported 50% of the patients in their series with urinary excretion abnormalities, including hypercalciuria and hyperoxaluria, both with or without hyperuricosuria; furthermore, they reported 64% of their patients with synchronous or metachronous distal stones, of which 56%
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calyceal diverticula have a transitional cell lining. Parapelvic cysts are found adjacent to the renal pelvis; like simple cysts, they do not communicate with the collecting system. Tubercular cavities demonstrate irregular borders and enlarge progressively. Papillary necrosis is found in the renal medulla and is associated with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug abuse and systemic conditions, such as sickle cell disease or diabetes mellitus. On CT urogram (CTU), findings in cases of papillary necrosis can range from blunted to eroded calyces with varying degrees of filling defects. Finally, neoplasm must be ruled out when only limited opacification of a calyceal diverticulum is seen on contrast-enhanced CT.39,44,45

Treatment
The vast majority of patients with calyceal diverticula are asymptomatic. Indications for operative intervention include chronic pain, recurrent urinary tract infection, gross hematuria, or decline in renal function.1 Historically, the treatment for symptomatic patients with calyceal diverticulectomy has involved open excision or marsupialization of the diverticulum with closure of the neck. Since the mid-1980s, minimally invasive approaches began to gain momentum,46,47 including SWL, ureteroscopic and percutaneous methods, and laparoscopic surgery. Treatment modality should be selected according to such factors as diverticulum location and stone burden and size.48

SWL
Extracorporeal SWL has been studied as a first-line treatment for symptomatic patients with calyceal diverticula because it is the least invasive treatment modality.49 Results from published case series are mixed, with the majority of authors concluding that SWL monotherapy produces suboptimal stone-free and recurrence rates. Garcia Reboll and colleagues described 13 patients with calculi in calyceal diverticula who were all treated by SWL and found that none of the stones were completely removed. In three patients (23%), the stones were reduced to half of the original size. Two of the patients (15%) had stones that were reduced to 75% of their original size. The remaining eight patients had stones that fragmented, but without any elimination of debris. Of those patients who were symptomatic prior to treatment, only 36.6% became asymptomatic.50

Ritchie and colleagues used extracorporeal piezoelectric (EPL) lithotripsy in 20 patients with stone-bearing calyceal diverticula, of which 16 were symptomatic. Twelve of these patients (75%) were rendered symptom free. Five (25%) became stone free, and six (30%) had residual fragments < 2 mm. The authors concluded that, although endourological approaches may provide more durable success in terms of stone-free rates, EPL should be provided as an option for those patients who wish to avoid an invasive intervention.51

Psihramis and Dretler treated 10 patients with SWL monotherapy. Of these, all had stone-bearing diverticula and were symptomatic. None of the patients were rendered stone free following treatment, although all had fragments that were believed to be small enough for spontaneous passage (< 3 mm). On follow-up at 3 months, only two (20%) were stone free; of the remaining eight patients, five were asymptomatic (62.5%), and five had fragments larger than 50% of the size of the original stones.52

Streem and Yost reported more favorable results in their series of 19 patients treated with SWL monotherapy.53 Their selection criteria required radiographic evidence of a functionally patent diverticular neck, as evidenced by early filling with contrast on intravenous pyelogram (IVP) or retrograde pyelography. In addition, patients with stones > 1.5 cm were excluded from the study. Eleven patients (58%) were stone free at initial follow-up following a single session of SWL; 6 of these patients had extended follow-up (included in a group of 13 patients with a mean follow-up of 23.8 months), of which 5 remained stone-free (38.5% of all patients with long-term follow-up, 83.3% of initially stone-free patients with long-term follow-up). Fourteen patients reported having symptoms prior to SWL, and of these, 12 (86%) were rendered symptom free at initial follow-up; 8 had extended follow-up; and 6 remained symptom free (75%). The authors concluded that, in select patients, SWL is an acceptable form of primary management for patients with calyceal diverticular stones.53

Diverticular stones may be well fragmented following SWL; this is demonstrated with the finding of layering within the diverticulum on supine and erect radiographs.54 However, passage of these fragments is prohibited by the same anatomic abnormality that caused urinary stasis and stone formation in the first place—a long and narrow diverticular neck. Stones in a calyx with little or no communication with the renal pelvis should
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Stones</th>
<th>Lithotripter</th>
<th>Sessions/Patient</th>
<th>Shocks/Session</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Initial Stone-free (%)</th>
<th>Symptom-free (%)</th>
<th>Stone-free at F/U (%)</th>
<th>Symptom-free at F/U (%)</th>
<th>Complications (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ritchie AW et al(^{51})</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Wolf Piezolith 2300(^a)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6530 (1500-14500)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia Reboll L et al(^{50})</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psihramis and Dretler(^{58})</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Model 3 Dornier(^b)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2783 (1750-3300)</td>
<td>21 kv</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streeam and Yost(^{53})</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Dornier HM3(^b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1680 (1200-2400)</td>
<td>18-20 kv</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendrikx AJ et al(^{54})</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Siemens Lithostar(^c)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3500 (1200-4000)</td>
<td>18.1 kV</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones JA et al(^{75})</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Dornier HM3(^b)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 2000</td>
<td>18-24 kv</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turna B et al(^{76})</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Wolf Piezolith 2300(^a) or Dornier Compact Delta(^a,b)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3070 (2000-4000)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F/U, follow-up.
\(^a\)Wolf GmbH, Mainburg, Germany.
\(^b\)Dornier Med Tech, Wessling, Germany.
\(^c\)Siemens, Munich, Germany.
therefore be excluded from SWL as they do not address this underlying anomaly, and an alternate treatment modality should be considered (Table 2).55

**Percutaneous Nephrostolithotomy: Technique**

Percutaneous nephrostolithotomy (PCNL) has been shown to have high success rates in calyceal diverticular stone treatment and has produced universally better results than those achieved by SWL monotherapy as it provides greater access to larger, more complex, and posteriorly located stones. Moreover, it allows the surgeon to manage the diverticulum with fulguration or incision of the diverticular neck.56,57

The percutaneous approach to diverticular stones begins with pre-operative imaging with plain films and retrograde pyelogram in the operating room prior to obtaining renal access. A staged procedure can also be performed, where imaging and access are obtained by an interventional radiologist prior to stone removal in the operating room. For patients with radiolucent stones, or in those where the diverticulum does not opacify with retrograde contrast or on IVU, contrast can be directly instilled into the cavity with CT or with ultrasound guidance.58

It is our standard practice to begin surgery with placement of a ureteral catheter with instillation of contrast, then place the patient in the prone position and, when possible, puncture the diverticulum directly with an 18-ga diamond-tipped needle under fluoroscopic guidance. For patients with upper-pole calyceal diverticula, renal displacement or triangulation can be used to allow subcostal direct access.59 A 0.038-in J-tip guidewire is fed through the nephrostomy needle, and then a 10 Fr Amplatz dilator is placed over the wire. A second wire is then placed through the dilator and coiled into the diverticulum; when the diameter of the ostium permits, the wire can be placed into the main collecting system. The nephrostomy tract is then sequentially dilated to 34 Fr, at which point the nephroscope can be placed. Diverticular stones are then fragmented, if necessary, and removed with a grasper or basket. The diverticular neck is then sought; this can be aided with retrograde infusion of indigo carmine or carbon dioxide through the ureteral catheter. The cavity walls are then fulgurated with low-current electrocautery. Finally, the ostium is dilated and a nephrostomy tube is placed across the neck into the main collecting system (Figure 1).60 Alternatively, if intubation of the diverticular neck is impossible, some have advocated the creation of a neoinfundibulum through the diverticular wall.61-63 After 48 hours, a nephrostogram is performed, and barring any evidence of retained stones, obstruction, or extravasation, the nephrostomy tube is removed.

Anterior calyces present an added challenge in patients with calyceal diverticular stones. The acute angle required for direct puncture prevents complete visualization and instrumentation within the cavity. One option for management includes direct puncture with stone removal and fulguration, but without management of the diverticular neck.60 Alternatively, indirect puncture can be performed through a posteriorly located calyx. Rigid or flexible nephroscopy is then used to navigate the collecting system until the ostium is reached, at which point a 0.035-in Benton guidewire can be passed into and coiled within the cavity. The diverticular neck is then balloon-dilated or endoinfundibulotomy is performed with an electrosurgical probe or Ho:YAG laser. The nephroscope is then advanced into the diverticulum and stones are fragmented and removed.64,65

**PCNL: Results**

One of the first published studies on percutaneous management of calyceal diverticula was by Eshghi and colleagues in 1987. In their mixed series of 14 patients with either infundibular stenosis or calyceal diverticula, 11 patients had a direct puncture into the target calyx or diverticulum. Eight patients were managed with incision of the infundibulum, four with balloon dilation, and two with direct-vision dissection. None of the diverticuli were fulgurated as the authors believed that endoinfundibulotomy with dilatation of the neck would traumatize the lining, and subsequent placement of a nephrostomy tube would allow granulation and re-epithelialization to take place, leading to eventual obliteration of the cavity. On follow-up ranging from 4 to 12 months, all patients were stone free, and 12 had a reduction in diverticulum size, whereas 2 remained unchanged.66

In their series of 17 patients, Hulbert and colleagues had a similar approach to the management of diverticula once access and stone removal were achieved: they intubated across the diverticular neck rather than fulgurating in all but one case. The lone patient who was managed with fulguration presented with a 7.5-cm diverticulum, which the authors thought would require further promotion of granulation tissue formation. All but three patients (80%) who were followed over a mean of 10.3 months had complete obliteration of their diverticula; of note, the diverticula in each of these three patients was approached indirectly.67

Hedelin and colleagues described a series of 13 patients with calyceal diverticula, renal...
stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13 cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

In an analysis of the impact of varying approaches in their series of 30 patients with long-term follow-up, Shalhav and colleagues found a 79% success rate with a direct approach versus 50% with indirect access. Incision of the diverticular neck resulted in an 83% success rate, compared with 67% success with dilatation. Management of the wall with fulguration led to complete obliteration on follow-up in 86% of their cases, whereas those cases in which the diverticula were intubated without fulguration were successful in only 50%. Overall, the authors reported obliteration in 76% of the cases with a stone-free rate of 93% over a mean objective follow-up of 21 months, and symptomatic resolution in 85% over a mean subjective follow-up of 42 months.

Monga and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. On follow-up, 75% of the diverticula were obliterated, all of the patients were stone free, and 88% were symptom free.

Ellis and colleagues reported on 12 patients, of whom 10 had stone-bearing calyceal diverticula and 2 presented with recurrent infection. A direct approach was used in 11 cases (92%) and the ostia were dilated in 9 patients, each of whom had a large Malacot catheter placed in either the diverticulum or renal pelvis; following a period of 2 to 4 days, seven of these patients (58%) returned for electrode obliteration. One patient had tetracycline infused via the nephrostomy tube. On follow-up, 75% of the diverticula were obliterated, all of the patients were stone free, and 88% were symptom free.

In an analysis of the impact of varying approaches in their series of 30 patients with long-term follow-up, Shalhav and colleagues found a 79% success rate with a direct approach versus 50% with indirect access. Incision of the diverticular neck resulted in an 83% success rate, compared with 67% success with dilatation. Management of the wall with fulguration led to complete obliteration on follow-up in 86% of their cases, whereas those cases in which the diverticula were intubated without fulguration were successful in only 50%. Overall, the authors reported obliteration in 76% of the cases with a stone-free rate of 93% over a mean objective follow-up of 21 months, and symptomatic resolution in 85% over a mean subjective follow-up of 42 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13 cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

Kim and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. Once access was achieved within the cavity, stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13 cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

Kim and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. Once access was achieved within the cavity, stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13 cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

Kim and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. Once access was achieved within the cavity, stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13 cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

Kim and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. Once access was achieved within the cavity, stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

Kim and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. Once access was achieved within the cavity, stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.

Two reports of novel single-stage percutaneous approaches for radiopaque stones were described, first by Donnellan and associates and then by Kim and colleagues. Donnellan and colleagues reported a series of 21 patients in whom access was achieved using a single-pass dilator to expose the stone-bearing calyceal diverticula. Fulguration was not attempted, and the diverticular necks were incised in 7 cases and dilated in 13 cases. At a mean follow-up of 74.4 months, the authors reported a 30% obliteration rate and 81% stone- and symptom-free rates.

Kim and colleagues described their percutaneous technique that involved electrocautery ablation without cannulation or dilatation of the infundibulum. Once access was achieved within the cavity, stones were fragmented and/or extracted, and a transurethral resectoscope was then introduced to fulgurate the diverticular lining. A Foley catheter was then placed into but not across the fulgurated diverticulum. With this method, 100% stone- and symptom-free rates were achieved with complete obliteration of all diverticula at a mean follow-up of 38 months.
colleagues described a technique for radiopaque stones that avoided ureteral catheter placement and diverticular neck manipulation. In this series of 22 calyceal diverticula, the procedures began with the patients in the prone position and access obtained with C-arm guidance, followed by lithotripsy, stone removal, and rollerball electrode fulguration of the cavity. With no dilatation of the ostium, a 20Fr red rubber catheter or 8.5Fr Cope loop catheter was placed in the diverticulum at the end of the case. With this technique, the authors reported 87.5% obliteration on follow-up at 3 months. Of note, mean operative time was under 1 hour, and 20 of the 21 patients were discharged home tubeless on postoperative day 1.72,73

PCNL has provided surgeons with the opportunity to directly treat the underlying disorder in patients with calyceal diverticular stones, thus improving stone-free rates over SWL while also minimizing the risk of recurrence. From the patient’s standpoint, symptom-free rates and quality-of-life mental- and emotional-health subscores have also been shown to improve following PCNL. However, the efficacy of PCNL must be weighed against its invasiveness, complication rates, as well as its limited role and poor results in anteriorly located diverticula (Table 3).

Three groups specifically compared the roles of SWL and percutaneous management in calyceal diverticula. Hendrikx and colleagues compared 15 patients treated with SWL versus 16 patients treated percutaneously. In the SWL group, 13% were stone free and 60% were symptom free at 3 months. In the percutaneous group, puncture failed in three patients who subsequently underwent lumbotomy. Of the remaining patients, 77% were stone and symptom free at a mean follow-up of 18 months. However, because of a 54% complication rate (includes failed PCNL cases), the authors concluded that SWL should be first-line therapy, with PCNL reserved for cases that fail SWL.74 Jones and coauthors reached the opposite conclusion in their description of 40 diverticula managed with SWL alone (16 renal units), SWL followed by PCNL (10 renal units), or percutaneous treatment alone (14 renal units).75 Those patients managed percutaneously, regardless of prior SWL, achieved a 100% symptom-free rate compared with 56% in those who received SWL monotherapy. Similarly, the PCNL groups had 90% and 86% stone-free rates in those with and without prior SWL, respectively, whereas only 6% of the SWL monotherapy group was stone free. Although the SWL group was discharged home more expeditiously (2.8 days vs 9.8 days in the combined group vs 7.2 days in the percutaneous monotherapy group), the number of complications was similar across all groups, and the SWL monotherapy group had the highest-grade complication in a patient who developed a perinephric abscess requiring nephrectomy. The authors concluded that SWL is not a cost-effective solution— as a single or combined treatment modality—to patients with stone-bearing calyceal diverticula.75 Turna and colleagues compared 38 patients managed with SWL and 18 by PCNL. In the SWL group, 18% and 61% were stone and symptom free, respectively, over a mean 23-month follow-up. The PCNL group demonstrated a higher stone-free rate at 72% whereas 94% were asymptomatic.76

PCNL has provided surgeons with the opportunity to directly treat the underlying disorder in patients with calyceal diverticular stones, thus improving stone-free rates over SWL while also minimizing the risk of recurrence. From the patient’s standpoint, symptom-free rates and quality-of-life mental- and emotional-health subscores have also been shown to improve following PCNL. However, the efficacy of PCNL must be weighed against its invasiveness, complication rates, as well as its limited role and poor results in anteriorly located diverticula (Table 3).

Ureterorenoscopy

**Technique.** Ureteroscopic (URS) management of diverticular stones has a greater efficacy than SWL monotherapy, and avoids the higher complication rates and discomfort levels of the more invasive therapies such as percutaneous or laparoscopic techniques. Such management is best suited for patients with small diverticular stones located in the upper or interpolar regions of the kidney. Lower pole stones are often at an acute angle that precludes retrograde management.

Surgery begins with routine cystoscopy and flexible ureteroscopy. The ostium is identified as a small dimple in some patients. In those patients where visualization is difficult, injection of contrast can be used to identify the ostium; alternatively, the Blue Spritz technique can be used, where methylene blue is instilled into the collecting system and then suctioned out. Once saline irrigant is reintroduced, residual blue dye in the diverticulum would escape, aiding the surgeon in identifying the ostium.78 A guidewire is then passed into the cavity and the infundibulum can be dilated or incised, followed by stone fragmentation and extraction.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Direct Approach (%)</th>
<th>Fulguration of Divericulum (%)</th>
<th>Management of Ostium</th>
<th>Obliteration of Divericulum at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Stone-free at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Symptom-free at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Complications (%)</th>
<th>Length of Follow-up (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eshghi M et al⁶⁶</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 incision, 4 dilatation, 2 dissection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hulbert JC et al⁷⁷</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2 incision, 16 dilatation</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedelin H et al⁶⁷</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 dilatation</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellis JH et al⁸⁴</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9 dilatation</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalhav AL et al⁹⁰</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monga M et al⁷⁰</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Basam S et al⁸²</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All neoinfundibulum</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liatsikos EN et al⁸⁸</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Dilatation except in anterior calyces</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 major, 12 minor</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim SC et al⁷²⁶</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donnellan SM et al⁷¹</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 incision, 13 dilatation</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones JA et al⁷⁵</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22 dilated</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendrikx AJ et al⁷⁴</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9 dilatation</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lang EK⁶³</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All neoinfundibulum</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auge BK et al⁷⁶</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>All neoinfundibulum</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landry JL et al⁹⁶</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31 incision</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turma B et al⁷⁶</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7 dilatation or incision</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasso M et al⁸¹</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Retrograde dilatation</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auge BK et al⁸²</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Neoinfundibulum in 18, dilatation in 4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One diverticulum obliterated with tetracycline.
*Stone-free rate at postoperative day 1 only.
Through the application of a Ho:YAG laser energy source, a flexible ureteroscope, and a Nitinol tipless stone basket, the success rates of ureteroscopy for treating low to moderate stone burdens is favorable.

**Results.** In the largest series of patients managed in retrograde fashion, Chong and associates reported on 96 patients with diverticular stones, of which identification and incision of the diverticular neck was successful in all but 4 cases (96%); each of these was located in a lower pole calyx. Management of the neck was with balloon dilatation, or incision with a Bugbee electrode or Ho:YAG laser. Stones were fragmented with electrohydraulic lithotripsy (EHL), holmium, and SWL. Over a follow-up period of 8 years, only 8% of patients had recurrence of stones or symptoms.28

Fuchs and David reported the first series of calyceal diverticula stones managed with ureteroscopy. Fifteen patients with diverticular stones underwent URS for dilatation of the ostium, followed by SWL under the same anesthesia to fragment the stones. Using this combined approach, the authors reported a 73% stone-free and 87% symptom-free rate over a mean follow-up of 7.4 months.29

Batter and Dretler described a series of 26 patients who were managed ureteroscopically, of which 18 had failed prior SWL. The authors were successful in entering the cavity in 18 cases. Of the eight failures, five were in lower pole diverticula and the remaining three were at acute angles in upper pole calyces; three of these patients went on to percutaneous management, one had SWL, and one underwent laparoscopic partial nephrectomy. In those cases where the ostium was found and incised or dilated, stone removal was successful in all but three cases (83%), which required SWL to clear the remaining stone burden. At a mean follow-up of 45 months, 94% were stone free and all were asymptomatic.30

Grasso and associates described a series of four patients with five calyceal diverticular stones, of which two were managed purely endoscopically and the remaining three were managed with combined ureteroscopic and percutaneous techniques. One patient managed in a retrograde fashion had bilateral midpole diverticula; on the left side, the authors were successful in accessing the cavity and removing the stone burden, whereas the contralateral side was complicated by bleeding and required a subsequent combined retrograde/antegrade procedure to remove the stones. The second patient was successfully managed in a purely ureteroscopic procedure. The final two patients in the series had retrograde dilatation of the neck, followed by percutaneous placement of a guidewire for through-and-through access. The diverticula were fulgurated in both cases. All patients in this series were asymptomatic at 5 months.31

In their series of 39 patients, Auge and colleagues compared 22 cases of PCNL with 17 URS cases. Stone burdens were similar between the two cohorts. After 6-week follow-up, 35% of the URS group was symptom free versus 86% in the PCNL group. Stone-free rates also favored PCNL (78%) over URS (19%). When comparing the two groups for stone-free rates stratified by stone size, PCNL was significantly better than URS only in stones < 11 mm in diameter. PCNL was universally better than URS for all stone locations as well, but this was only statistically significant for upper pole stones. The authors concluded that, despite the increased rate of complications seen in PCNL, it should be the primary modality used to treat calyceal diverticular stones.32

URS for stones in upper and middle calyces with identifiable ostia produces durable results with low morbidity. However, the ostium cannot be identified during a retrograde approach in up to 30% of patients.33 For difficult cases, a percutaneous or laparoscopic approach can be applied under the same anesthesia if ureteroscopic is unsuccessful (Table 4).28

**Laparoscopic Surgery**

**Technique.** Laparoscopic surgery is a promising option for calyceal diverticula that are anteriorly located, have unidentifiable ostia that preclude endoscopic management, carry a large stone burden, or have thin overlying parenchyma. As it is the most invasive option compared with SWL, percutaneous, and ureteroscopic management, laparoscopic surgery should be considered only when other alternatives are not feasible.84,85

It is our preference to position the patient supine with the ipsilateral flank bumped 30° to 45°. Following establishment of pneumoperitoneum, the white line of Toldt is incised to permit medial mobilization of the bowel. Once the kidney is visualized, intraoperative ultrasound can be used to assist in locating the diverticulum. Alternatively, methylene blue can be injected through a preoperatively placed externalized ureteral catheter. The parenchyma overlying the lesion is incised with electrocautery scissors, revealing the diverticulum cavity. Stones can then be removed with graspers and placed in an endoscopy bag. The cavity is then obliterated with Argon beam coagulation and the renal defect is sutured closed. A drain is placed and maintained until output and/or creatinine levels are low.
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### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Management of Ostium</th>
<th>Successful Entry into Diverticulum (%)</th>
<th>Obliteration of Diverticulum (%)</th>
<th>Stone-free at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Symptom-free at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Complications (%)</th>
<th>Length of Follow-up (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chong TW et al[^18]</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Incision and dilatation</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuchs and David[^19]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dilatation</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batter and Dretler[^20]</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Incision and dilatation</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasso M et al[^21a]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Incision and dilatation</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auge BK et al[^22]</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dilatation</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^21a]: Immediate postop clearance reported. One of the three renal units required later concomittant antegrade access.

### TABLE 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>OR Time</th>
<th>EBL</th>
<th>Length of Stay</th>
<th>Obliteration of Diverticulum (%)</th>
<th>Stone-free at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Symptom-free at Follow-up (%)</th>
<th>Complications (%)</th>
<th>Length of Follow-up (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gluckman GR et al[^26]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transperitoneal</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Subcutaneous crepitance</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winfield HN et al[^27]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transperitoneal</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harewood LM et al[^23]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Bleeding requiring transfusion, port site drainage</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoznek A et al[^24]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curran MJ et al[^21]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyler SF et al[^30]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller SD et al[^35]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terai A et al[^32]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>165.5</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EBL, estimated blood loss; OR, operating room.
Results. The first case reports of laparoscopic management for calyceal diverticula came in 1993. In these early experiences, Gluckman and colleagues used five ports and located the cavity with the assistance of methylene blue injected retrograde through an externalized ureteral catheter. The cavity was unroofed, stones were removed, and the lining was ablated with argon. Operative time for the laparoscopic portion of the case was 3 hours and blood loss was 25 mL.\textsuperscript{86} Winfield and colleagues reported on a patient who underwent laparoscopic partial nephrectomy after failing prior percutaneous management. Using six ports, the authors completed a partial nephrectomy with a purpose-made renal tourniquet and argon beam coagulation to control bleeding and fulgurate the cavity. Operative time was 6 hours and 10 minutes, and estimated blood loss was 300 mL.\textsuperscript{87}

Wong and Zimmerman reported a case in which laparoscopic-assisted transperitoneal PCNL was used in a patient with branched stones in an anterior upper-pole diverticulum. Using three ports, the authors dissected down to the diverticulum before introducing the nephroscope through an additionally placed 12-mm trocar; laparoscopic and nephroscopic visualization were made possible with the use of adjacent video towers. Holmium laser was passed through the nephroscope for stone fragmentation, and graspers were used for stone removal.\textsuperscript{88} Advantages of this combined technique include visualization and retraction to avoid bowel injury during PCNL (although the bowel can also be injured during trocar placement); direct puncture into the target diverticulum, which results in decreased risk of bleeding; and improved access to the diverticular neck.\textsuperscript{89}

A number of authors have also reported cases in which a retroperitoneoscopic approach was taken, citing the advantages of avoiding bowel injury and intraperitoneal urine leakage, the ability to maneuver in obese patients, the low risk of hemorrhage and other intraoperative morbidity, and the opportunity for monotherapy with definitive results.\textsuperscript{90-92} Harewood and colleagues described three patients with anterior diverticula who underwent laparoscopic partial nephrectomy through a flank approach. The diverticula were identified by a depression in the surface of the kidney in two cases. In the third, an adjacent calyx was entered and the diverticulum was then located with fluoroscopic guidance. The cavities were then unroofed, the stones were removed, and the linings were fulgurated. In the second and third patients, a flap of Gerota's fascia and perirenal fat were sutured to close the renal defect. Mean operative time was 127 minutes. One case was complicated by bleeding, which required transfusion, and another was complicated by drainage from a port site that spontaneously resolved. The patients were discharged after a median of 4 days, and on median follow-up of 4 months, all were stone and symptom free, and two patients had complete obliteration of the diverticulum.\textsuperscript{93}

Hoznek and colleagues also reported a series of three patients managed with retroperitoneoscopic surgery, of which two had failed prior SWL and one with a mechanical heart valve had formed an abscess despite antibiotic therapy and needed definitive management. Following unroofing, stone extraction, and fulguration, the authors filled the cavities with gelatin resorcinol formaldehyde glue. Average operative time was 80 minutes, blood loss was minimal in all cases, and no complications were reported. At 6-month follow-up, all patients were stone free and asymptomatic, with no recurrences noted.\textsuperscript{94}

Miller and colleagues described five patients who underwent retroperitoneoscopic management that included freehand suturing of the diverticular neck in two cases, with injection of indigo carmine to confirm watertight closure.\textsuperscript{96} Argon was used to obliterate the cavity lining. Mean operative time was 133.8 minutes, estimated blood loss was 70 mL, and length of stay was 1.5 days, including four patients who were discharged home in the first 24 hours. Diverticula were obliterated in all patients on postoperative imaging at 6 weeks.

Although it may be the most “invasive” of the minimally invasive approaches, perioperative outcomes of laparoscopic surgery for calyceal diverticula are encouraging, and its long-term results appear to be durable. Larger series, which may require a multi-institutional effort due to the relative rarity of the disease, are needed for further analysis of both the retroperitoneal and transperitoneal approaches (Table 5).

Conclusions
Calyceal diverticula are rare outpouchings of the upper collecting system that likely have a congenital origin. Stones are found in up to 50% of cases, although over the combined reported series, 96% of patients presented with stones. Diagnosis is best made by IVU or CTU. SWL is an option for first-line therapy in patients with stone-bearing diverticula that have radiologically patent necks in mid-to upper-pole diverticula and small stone burdens. Stone-free rates are the lowest with SWL, although patients report being asymptomatic following therapy in up to 75% of
cases with extended follow-up. URS is best suited for management of mid- to upper-pole anteriorly located diverticular stones. Drawbacks to URS include difficulty of identifying the ostium and low rate of obliteration. Percutaneous management is best used in posteriorly located mid- to lower-pole stones, and offers the ability to directly ablate the diverticulum. PCNL remains effective in the management of upper-pole diverticula, but carries the risk of pulmonary complications unless subcostal access strategies such as triangulation or renal displacement are used. Finally, laparoscopic surgery provides definitive management, but should be reserved for cases with large stones in anteriorly located diverticula with thin overlying parenchyma, and cases that are refractory to other treatment.
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MAIN POINTS

- Calyceal diverticula are rare outpouchings of the upper collecting system that have a congenital origin. Stones can be found in up to 50% of cases, although over the combined series reported here, 96% of patients presented with stones. Adequate imaging is essential to diagnosis of calyceal diverticula, which are radiolucent and cannot be seen on a plain radiograph. Diagnosis is primarily made by intravenous urogram or computed tomography urogram.

- The vast majority of patients are asymptomatic. Indications for operative intervention include chronic pain, recurrent urinary tract infection, gross hematuria, or decline in renal function.

- Shock wave lithotripsy (SWL) is an option for first-line therapy in patients with stone-bearing diverticula that have radiologically patent necks in mid- to upper-pole diverticula and small stone burdens. Stone-free rates are the lowest with SWL, although patients report being asymptomatic following therapy in up to 75% of cases with extended follow-up.

- Ureteroscopy (URS) is best suited for management of mid- to upper-pole anteriorly located diverticular stones. Drawbacks to URS include difficulty of identifying the ostium and low rate of obliteration.

- Percutaneous management is best used in posteriorly located mid- to lower-pole stones, and offers the ability to directly ablate the diverticulum. PCNL remains effective in the management of upper-pole diverticula, but carries the risk of pulmonary complications unless subcostal access strategies such as triangulation or renal displacement are used.

- Laparoscopic surgery should be reserved for cases with large stones in anteriorly located diverticulum with thin overlying parenchyma, and cases that are refractory to other treatment.
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